Items	Comments	Responds
Comm	ents from Environmental Protection Department dated 17 January 2024	
(a)	Appendix D.2: i. Population and sewage flow of the proposed retail building are inconsistent with that in Table 4.1. Please clarify; and	Appendix D.2 are updated accordingly.
(b)	ii. Sewage flow of restaurant in the proposed retail building is missing. Please include it in the calculation of sewage flow.	Appendix D.2 are updated accordingly. The sewage flow generated from the proposed retail and restaurant in the retail building has been previously included in the calculation in Appendices D.4 and D.5. Thus, there is no changes in the sewage flow in Appendices D.4 and D.5. However, the hydraulic calculation of Appendices D.4 and D.5 has been updated to incorporate the clarification received from DSD. The results indicated that there shall be no adverse sewerage impact from the proposed development with the proposed 250mm sewer (internal dia.) and proposed sewer upgrading works from 200mm to 250mm (internal dia.) between manhole FMH1035400 and FMH1064703.
Comm	ents from Drainage Services Department dated 17 January 2024	
	ge Impact Assessment	
(a)	Section 5.1.3 and Table 5.1: According to our record, the existing sewer between FMH1035400 and FMH1064703 should be 200mm diameter. Please confirm whether the applicant will upgrade this section of sewer at his/her own cost to the Drainage Services Department's satisfaction. Besides, please indicate in the report that the project proponent will be responsible for the implementation of the required upgrading works and the proposed sewerage works, whether within or outside the application site;	 Thank you for your kind clarification on the discrepancy between GeoInfo Map and DSD record plan, it is noted that there is an existing 200mm diameter sewer between FMH1035400 and FMH1064703. Also, based on DSD drainage record plan, it is understood that there is only one single existing pipe (450mm dia.) running between FMH1064703 and FMH1035401. However, it is also noted that there is discrepancy on pipe size of the existing pipe between FMH1064703 and FMH1035401 in DSD drainage record plan as shown in below figure.

Items	Comments	Responds
		.62-1500 ● L 2 x 1200 • 125,77-450 • 15,77-450 • 15,77-450 • 125,77-450
		6.9 SMH1047989 + CL 6.71 A1 4.00-1500 X1 4.00-2 x 1200 FMH1064703 CL 6.70 A1 4.00-2 x 1200 FMH1064703 CL 6.90 A1 5.81-450 A2 5.81-200 X1 5.80-450 SMH1010946 X1 5.57-225 SMH1032060 CL 6.97 A1 4.92-450
		200 332 520 332
		To clarify the size of the existing pipe between FMH1064703 and FMH1035401, drawing from building department (BD) is reviewed and noted that the existing pipe size should be 450mm dia. with an upstream invert level of 5.80mPD and downstream invert level of 5.77mPD in minimum 1 in 300 fall. The BD drawing is extract below for reference.

Items	Comments	Responds
		Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Propos
		proposed upgrading works of the existing sewer between FMH1035400 and FMH1064703 will be constructed on the cost of the Applicant and handed back to DSD for maintenance. Section 6.1.2 is updated to include the implementation responsibility of the proposed sewerage works.
(b)	Appendix C: The size of the incoming sewer at FMH1064703 should be 250mm diameter after the upgrading works. Please review; and	As discussed above, the existing 200mm dia. pipe is laid between FMH1035400 and FMH1064703 and the utilization of this existing 200mm dia. pipe will be 90% under proposed condition. Thus, the existing 200mm dia. pipe between FMH1035400 and FMH1064703 is proposed to be upgraded to 250mm internal diameter PE pipe (i.e. 280mm outside diameter). The outside diameter (OD) of an equivalent size of 250mm internal diameter is 280mm according to the DSD Specification for Polyethylene Pipes and Fittings for Waste Water Pressure Rising Mains & Gravity Drainage. Appendix C has been updated to show the OD of PE pipes and to have a note clarifying on the internal and outside diameters of proposed sewers and proposed upgraded sewer.

Items	Comments	Responds
(c)	Appendix D.5: According to the hydraulic assessment provided, a	Please be clarified that the existing 200mm will be upgraded to 280mm outside
	minimum 238mm ID PE pipe will be used. Please ensure that the	diameter (OD) PE pipe (i.e. 250mm internal diameter for calculation) due to the
	proposed 250mm OD PE pipe has sufficient inner size.	insufficient capacity under the proposed condition. The hydraulic calculation of
		the upgraded PE pipe under proposed condition is presented in Appendix D.4.
		The utilization of the upgraded PE pipe under proposed condition will be 44%.
		The rehabilitation scenario in Appendix D.5 is prepared to check the hydraulic
		performance of the possible rehabilitation in the far future of the proposed
		280mm OD PE pipe after the upgrading works was done, say maybe a few
		decades later. Appendix D.5 demonstrated that the utilization of the upgraded
		280mm OD PE pipe with rehabilitation will only be 51% even with the reduction
		in flow area under rehabilitation possible in the far future.