Proposed Minor Relaxation of Building Height Restriction for Permitted Social Welfare Facility (Residential Care Home for the Elderly) and Proposed House Use with Conservation Proposal in "Government, Institution or Community (1)" Zone at Lots 1695 S.E ss.1 RP, 1695 S.F ss.1 and 1695 S.H RP (Part) in D.D.120 and Adjoining Government Land, Tai Kei Leng, Yuen Long, New Territories (Application No. A/YL/302)

Response-to-Comment Table

| Departmental Comments | Response |
|---|---|
| Email from PlanD received on 26 April 2023 refers: | |
| Transport Department: | |
| (a) As there is change in planning parameters, the applicant shall | There is a reduction of 40 beds (from 281 to 241) as compared with |
| provide updated traffic impact assessment for our review. | the previous approved application. |
| | |
| | Therefore, the expected traffic generation/attraction will be less than |
| | the previous submission, and hence should be acceptable in traffic |
| | terms. |
| (b) The applicant shall state clearly how the proposed development | It is the same as the previous approved application. Pleaser refer to |
| connects to Tai Tong Road. | the G/F Layout Plan as attached in Appendix 1 . |
| (c) The applicant shall provide layout plan demonstrating that there | Please find attached the swept path diagrams in Appendix 1 showing |
| are sufficient spaces for parking, loading/unloading and | sufficient maneuvering spaces for vehicles. |
| manoeuvring. | (Remarks: The G/F plan is revised to allow more space for |
| | manoeuvring.) |
| (d) The local track abutting the subject site is not under Transport | Noted. |
| Department's purview. The applicant shall obtain consent of the | |
| owners/managing parties of the local track for using it as the | |
| vehicular access to the subject site. | |
| (e) Sufficient space should be provided within the application site for | Noted and agreed. |
| manoeuvring of vehicles. In addition, no parking, queueing and | |
| reverse movement of vehicles on public road are allowed. | Please refer to response on (c). |

| Email from PlanD received on 26 April 2023 refers: | |
|--|---|
| Fire Services Department: | |
| (a) Considering a petrol filling station (PFS) is located in the vicinity to | Noted with thanks. The following mitigation measures are proposed |
| the social welfare facility, a separation distance between the filling | to address the associated risks: |
| point/vent pipe and utilities outside the boundary of PFS should be | 1) A solid reinforced concrete wall, with a Fire Resistance Rating of |
| maintained for the sake of safety, particularly for the vulnerable | at least 2 hours and a thickness of at least 300 mm, to be built |
| occupant(s) of RCHE. The applicant should be reminded the wall | from G/F to 2/F along the wall of the proposed building facing |
| forming part of an occupied building should not be located within | the nearby PFS; |
| 12m of the filling points of PFS from the dispensers of the PFS. | 2) No intake / exhaust openings of the proposed building should be |
| Moreover, additional fire safety requirements may be imposed upon | built within 12m from the dispenser of the PFS; and |
| vetting of the building details with regard to the safety distance of | 3) No emergency exit / entrance of the proposed building should be |
| the petrol filling station at the vicinity. | built within 12m from the dispenser of the PFS. |
| (b) The applicant should be reminded for any new development | Noted with thanks. |
| proposed to be constructed in a close proximity of an existing | |
| licensed store, it should be ensured that the existing licensed store is | |
| not adversely affected. | |
| Email from PlanD received on 26 April 2023 refers: | |
| Civil Engineering and Development Department: | |
| (a) The applicant is reminded to submit plans of the proposed | Noted with thanks. |
| building works and site formation works to the Buildings Department | |
| for approval as required under the provisions of the Buildings | |
| Ordinance. | |
| (b) The applicant is reminded that the subject site is located within | Noted with thanks. |
| the Scheduled Area No. 2 and may be underlain by cavernous | |
| marble. Depending on the nature of foundation of the new | |
| development proposed at the site, extensive geotechnical | |
| investigation may be required as necessary. This would require a | |

| high-level involvement of experienced geotechnical engineer(s), bot | 1 |
|--|---|
| in the design and supervision of geotechnical aspects of the works t | |
| be carried out on the site. | |
| Email from PlanD received on 26 April 2023 refers: | |
| Social Welfare Department: | |
| Salient points on design of the Residential Car Home for the Elderl | \mathbf{Y} |
| (RCHE) | |
| | |
| (a) 24m height restriction of the RCHE | |
| | |
| - As indicated in the planning statement submitted by the applicar | All facilities provided for the elderlies from G/F to 5/F are situated at |
| (P.12 refers), the RCHE is situated on "Not more than 31.65 mPD (NE | : a height within 21.85m above the ground floor (6.2mPD - |
| Ground Level at 6.2 mPD and Mean Street Level at 5.75 mPD)". | 28.05mPD). The proposed RCHE is in full compliance with relevant |
| | licensing and statutory height requirements. |
| - According to para 5.3 of the Code of Practice for Residential Car | 2 |
| Homes (Elderly Persons) January 2020 (Revised Edition) (CoP), "n | |
| part of the RCHE shall be situated at a height more than 24 metre | S |
| above the ground floor, measuring vertically from the ground of th | 2 |
| building to the floor of the premises in which the RCHE is to b | |
| situated If an RCHE operator can prove the RCHE possesse | s |
| facilities for fire safety, evacuation and rescue, and appropriat | 2 |
| evacuation, contingency and fire drill plans to the satisfaction of th | |
| DSW, the DSW may approve the ancillary facilities of the RCHE t | |
| which the residents normally do not have access (e.g. kitcher | , |
| laundry rooms, office, staff resting room) to be situated at a heigh | t |
| more than 24m above the ground". | |
| | |

| - In this light, the applicant is advised to ensure provision of the | |
|--|--|
| height of the proposed RCHE shall be in full compliance with relevant | |
| licensing and statutory height requirements. | |
| (b) Heating, Lighting and Ventilation Requirements | |
| | |
| - Regarding the Heating, Lighting and Ventilation Requirements for | Noted with thanks. |
| RCHE as set out in para 4.9 of CoP which has been updated in | |
| February 2023, the applicant shall take note of all the latest | |
| requirements stipulated in the para. Among others, he shall pay | |
| special attention that the proposed RCHE should adopt the | |
| requirements on fresh air intake of mechanical ventilation system in | |
| compliance with the principles as stated at para 4.9.3a. | |
| (c) Minimum Area of Floor Space of Each Resident | |
| | |
| - While the proposed RCHE is intended to provide 240-260 bed | A minimum floor space per resident at 9.5 sqm is allowed which is in |
| spaces within the intended Gross Floor Area (GFA) of 5,400 sqm., the | compliance with the statutory and licensing requirements. |
| applicant shall ensure the area of floor space to be provided for each | |
| resident of the proposed RCHE shall be in compliance with the | |
| statutory and licensing requirements. | |
| | |
| - The applicant should especially take note of the proposed upward | |
| adjustment of the statutory minimum area of floor space per | |
| resident for different care levels of RCHEs as proposed in the | |
| Residential Care Homes Legislation (Miscellaneous Amendments) Bill | |
| 2022 (the Bill) (i.e. the proposed statutory minimum floor space per | |
| resident for the "High Care Level Homes" will be increased from 6.5 | |
| sqm. to 9.5 sqm., whereas the proposed statutory minimum floor | |

| The Applicant intends to seek Formal Policy Support to the proposed |
|---|
| RCHE development at the subject site under the "Incentive Scheme |
| o Encourage Provision of Residential Care Home for the Elderly |
| Premises in New Private Developments" to grant concessions to |
| exempt the proposed RCHE from payment of land premium. |
| Noted with thanks. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Noted with thanks. |
| |
| |

| cause any environmental nuisance, pest infestation and obstruction | |
|--|--|
| to the surrounding. | |
| (b) For any waste generated from such operation or activity, the | Noted with thanks. |
| applicant should arrange disposal properly at his own expenses. | |
| (c) Proper licence / permit issued by FEHD is required if there is any | Noted with thanks. |
| catering service / activities regulated by the Director of Food and | |
| Environmental Hygiene under the Public Health and Municipal | |
| Services Ordinance (Cap. 132) and other relevant legislation for the | |
| public. | |
| Email from PlanD received on 26 April 2023 refers: | |
| Urban Design and Landscape: | |
| (a) According to the application no. A/YL/263-1 approved on | The concerned building height of the Ex-Hang Heung Factory Site as |
| 16.3.2023, the building height of the proposed RCHE development at | mentioned in the VIA, including the statement in para. 3.4 and |
| the Ex-Hang Heung Factory Site is 33.1mPD instead of 36.7mPD as | Section 6, Figures 4 and 5, are amended accordingly. Please refer to |
| shown in Figures 4 and 5 and 28.7mPD as indicated in Section 6 of | Appendix 2 – Replacement Pages of Visual Impact Assessment and |
| the Visual Impact Assessment (VIA). | Figures. |
| | |
| | (Remarks: A typo in the photomontage of the proposed scheme |
| | shown in Figure 6 is observed and replaced.) |
| (b) Para. 5.7.1 of the planning statement and paras. 6.3.5 & 6.6.3 | For VP3 (para. 6.3.5), it is considered that the visual impact caused by |
| (VP3 & VP6) and Section 7 of VIA – Judging from the photomontages, | the Proposed Development from this VP is graded as moderately |
| the entire development is perceivable at these two vantage points | adverse. |
| (VPs) and the open sky view is apparently obstructed. The visual | |
| impact to these two VPs can hardly be regarded as slightly adverse | For VP6 (para. 6.6.3), it is considered that the resultant visual impact |
| when comparing to the existing condition. | of the Proposed Development is considered moderately adverse. |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | Please refer to Appendix 2 - Replacement Pages of Visual Impact |
|---|--|
| | Assessment and Figures. |
| (c) The Applicant should provide the Legend to show the design | The Legend has been included to show the design elements. Please |
| elements shown on all Landscape Plans. | refer to Appendix 3 – Replacement Pages of Development Scheme. |
| | |
| | (Remarks: As the layout of G/F is slightly refined to allow more space |
| | for manoeuvring, the plans attached in the Appendix 1 of the |
| | planning statement submitted on 24 March 2023, including G/F |
| | Layout Plan, Landscape Plan (G/F), Landscape Plan (Master Layout |
| | Plan), EVA Plan-1 and Heritage Conservation Strategy, will be |
| | replaced correspondingly by the plans attached in Appendix 3 – |
| | Replacement Pages of Development Scheme.) |
| (d) Please be reminded that the approval of the s.16 application by | Noted with thanks. |
| the Town Planning Board does not imply approval of site coverage of | |
| greenery requirements under APP PNAP-152 and/or under the lease. | |
| The site coverage of greening calculation should be submitted | |
| separately to BD for approval. | |