1. Introduction

1.1 The “Comprehensive Development Area” (“CDA”) zoning (or the previous “Other Specified Uses” annotated “Comprehensive Development/Redevelopment Area” zoning) was first introduced in Outline Zoning Plans (OZPs) in 1976 with the key objective to facilitate urban restructuring and to phase out incompatible development and non-conforming uses. The Town Planning Board (the Board) is empowered to designate an area as “CDA” under section 4(1)(f) of the Town Planning Ordinance (the Ordinance).

1.2 In general, “CDAs” are designated in the interest of the wider public although individual property owner’s right would be taken into consideration. They are designated after careful consideration of such factors as the planning intention for the area, land status, ownership and other development constraints, including the likely prospect for implementation. They will only be designated where there are no better alternative zoning mechanisms to achieve the desired planning objectives specified in Section 3.1 below.

1.3 To avoid planning blight caused by the withholding of piecemeal individual developments within a “CDA” zone, the Board recognizes that there is a need for close monitoring of the progress of “CDA” development. A proactive approach
is taken to facilitate development and to keep track on the progress of implementation of “CDA” sites.

2. **Scope and Application**

This set of Guidelines is adopted as reference for the designation of “CDAs” on statutory plans, as initiated by the Government, quasi-Government bodies as well as private development agencies, and for the subsequent monitoring of the progress of “CDA” developments.

3. **Planning Intention**

3.1 “CDAs” are intended to achieve such objectives as to :

a. facilitate urban renewal and restructuring of land uses in the old urban areas;

b. provide incentives for the restructuring of obsolete areas, including old industrial areas, and the phasing out of non-conforming uses, such as open storage and container back-up uses in the rural areas;

c. provide opportunities for site amalgamation and restructuring of road patterns and ensure integration of various land-uses and infrastructure development, thereby optimizing the development potential of the site;

d. provide a means for achieving co-ordinated development in areas subject to traffic, environmental and infrastructure capacity constraints, and in areas with interface problems of incompatible land-uses;

e. ensure adequate as well as timely provision of Government, institution or community (GIC), transport and public transport facilities and open space for the development and where possible, to address the shortfall in the district; and

f. ensure appropriate control on the overall scale and design of development in areas of high landscape and amenity values and in locations with special design or historical significance.
Land Status/Ownership/Tenure

3.2 Unallocated Government sites subject to modern land grant conditions, including those intended for public housing development to be implemented by the Housing Authority, would only be designated as “CDA” in special circumstances, where control on the design and layout of development is necessary because of special site constraints or the special character of the area.

3.3 Sites covered by an Urban Renewal Authority (URA) Development Scheme or an urban improvement scheme of the Hong Kong Housing Society (HKHS) are normally designated “CDAs” to, inter alia, prevent piecemeal development/redevelopment which would pre-empt optimum comprehensive redevelopment and urban restructuring.

3.4 Since fragmented land ownership will affect the prospect of implementation of “CDAs”, CDA sites involving private land, other than those of URA or HKHS, are normally expected to have a major portion of the private land under single ownership at the time of designation but each site will be considered on its individual merits. Since the designation may affect third party development/redevelopment right, the proponent would be required to indicate the land under his ownership and that he has plans to acquire the remaining portion for comprehensive development.

3.5 In the designation of “CDA” zoning land ownership should only be one of the considerations weighed against many other factors, such as, the need to facilitate urban renewal and restructuring of land uses in the old urban areas and to provide incentives for phasing out of incompatible and non-conforming uses. Particularly, in the case of the URA development schemes and the urban improvement schemes of HKHS, where the mechanisms for land acquisition are available, land ownership will not be an overriding factor.

Prospect for Implementation

3.6 There should be an indication on the likely prospect for implementation before a site is designated as “CDA”. Information on land status and provision of supporting infrastructure should be provided, and preliminary assessments should be carried out to demonstrate the technical feasibility of the proposed development. If the designation is proposed by a development agency, the likely development programme should be indicated in the proposal for consideration by the Board.
Size

3.7 Obviously, the larger the site, the better the opportunity for incorporating public facilities in the development, restructuring of land uses including changes to road patterns, and optimization of development potential. There is, however, no hard and fast rule to determine whether a site is sizable enough to warrant comprehensive development or redevelopment. Each site should be considered on its individual merits taking into account the planning intention for the area and the special characteristics of the site.

4. Development Parameters

4.1 In determining the boundary and development intensity of a “CDA” site, the existing land use pattern, the latest development requirements and the infrastructural capacity constraints in the area should be taken into account. Opportunities should be taken to incorporate, where appropriate, GIC facilities, open space, road widening, public transport and parking facilities and the provision of pedestrian linkages in the development.

4.2 Appropriate development mix and intensities would be specified in the Notes of the OZPs if the site is subject to various constraints, such as traffic and infrastructure capacities and environmental constraints. A Planning Brief would usually be prepared by the Planning Department to guide the development of the “CDA” site. Detailed planning requirements, including the provision of appropriate traffic and environmental mitigation measures, GIC, transport and public transport facilities and open space would be specified in the Planning Brief.

5. Mechanism for Monitoring

5.1 Frequent reviews of “CDA” zones would be required in order to achieve a close monitoring of the progress of development. The first review of each “CDA” site would be conducted at the end of the third year after its designation and subsequent reviews would be made on a biennial basis.

“CDA” with no Approved Master Layout Plan (MLP)/Implementation Agency

5.2 At the end of the third year after the designation, priority would be given to review those “CDA” sites with no approved MLP or for which no implementation agency can be identified. The following possible actions would be considered
by the Board after the review to respond to changing circumstances:

a. to rezone to other uses the “CDA” sites which have significant implementation difficulties and slim chances of successful implementation;

b. to revise the planning and development parameters of the “CDA” sites, where appropriate, to improve the incentives for redevelopment and hence the chance for implementation;

c. to revise the zoning boundary in line with updated information on land status or ownership, or to subdivide the “CDA” into smaller “CDA” sites for development in phases to facilitate early implementation, where justified; and

d. to revise and update the planning briefs for “CDA” sites to reflect the changing requirements and circumstances.

“CDA” with Approved MLP
5.3 In order to keep track on the progress of implementation, the following monitoring mechanism is adopted by the Board:

a. should there be disagreements with the developer/agent on issues related to compliance with approval conditions, the relevant Government departments will be requested to report the issues to the Board; and

b. a proforma would be issued to and completed by the developer/agent on a biennial basis to keep track on the progress of implementation.

Allowance for Phased Development
5.4 For “CDA” sites which are not under single ownership, if the developer can demonstrate with evidence that due effort has been made to acquire the remaining portion of the site for development but no agreement can be reached with the landowner(s), allowance for phased development could be considered. In deriving the phasing of the development, it should be demonstrated that:

a. the planning intention of the “CDA” zone will not be undermined;

b. the comprehensiveness of the proposed development will not be adversely affected as a result of the revised phasing;
c. the resultant development should be self-contained in terms of layout design and provision of open space and appropriate GIC, transport and other infrastructure facilities; and

d. the development potential of the unacquired lots within the “CDA” zone should not be absorbed in the early phases of the development, access to these lots should be retained, and the individual lot owners’ landed interest should not be adversely affected.

6. Re-designating “CDA” Sites

6.1 In some cases, there may be merits to rezone “CDA” sites upon completion of development to other uses such as “Residential (Group A)” or “Commercial”, to provide flexibility in subsequent modification of uses within the development without the need for submission of a revised MLP. Through regular review of “CDA” sites, the Board would, taking the specific circumstances pertaining to each “CDA” site into account, give consideration to the case of re-designating completed “CDA” developments to other land use zoning.

6.2 In general, the consideration for re-designation would include the following aspects:

a. the planning intention of maintaining comprehensive control on the overall development of the area should not be undermined. For instance, if a “CDA” site is subject to environmental constraints and the layout of the development has to allow for the provision of a buffer against the environmental nuisances, the removal of the buffer will not be desirable;

b. in the case of mixed developments especially for a variety of uses sharing a common podium, a re-designation of different parts of the “CDA” site to various discrete land-use zonings may only be possible provided that the planning intention of each zone could be clearly reflected; and

c. if part of the site is excluded from the development zone and rezoned to, say “Open Space” or “Government, Institution or Community”, it should be ensured that the resultant development intensities of the site will not be higher than those permitted under the Notes of the OZP or in the Building
6.3 In considering the re-designation of “CDA” sites, local views should also be taken into account in order to avoid, as far as possible, unnecessary misunderstanding of the planning intention.

6.4 For “CDA” sites which cannot be re-designated, other measures are available to streamline the procedures for modification of uses within the completed development. For instance, some minor amendments to the approved MLP submitted under section 16A(2) of the Ordinance can be considered by the Director of Planning, the Deputy Director of Planning and the Assistant Directors of Planning of the Planning Department under delegated authority of the Board on a fast-track basis. Reference should be made to the relevant Town Planning Board Guidelines.
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