

Submission Number:

TPB/R/S/I-DB/5- S1

就圖則作出申述

Representation Relating to Plan

參考編號

Reference Number:

240419-112514-95591

提交限期

Deadline for submission:

12/06/2024

提交日期及時間

Date and time of submission:

19/04/2024 11:25:14

「申述人」全名

Full Name of "Representer":

女士 Ms. Jinglin Wang

「獲授權代理人」全名

Full Name of "Authorized Agent" :

與申述相關的圖則

Plan to which the representation relates:

S/I-DB/5

申述的性質及理由

Nature of and reasons for the representation:

有關事項 Subject Matters	性質 Nature	理由 Reason
Adding taxi ranks in Discovery Bay	反對 Oppose	<p>I am opposing to adding taxi ranks in Discovery Bay, because:</p> <p>1. DB has been "PRIMARILY CAR FREE" in its original terms. HKR changing to "generally car free" in the draft note is a distortion from original terms and is unlawful.</p> <p>2. DB's infrastructure does not support more taxi ranks. There is not a single traffic light, no traffic police in DB. Having taxis speeding on the roads in DB will cause grave danger to DB residents.</p> <p>3. The road in DB is private, and cost of repairing and maintenance comes from management fees paid by DB residents. It is unfair to have the residents pay for wear and tear on the road by taxis.</p> <p>My main objection to adding taxi stands in DB is for safety. As you know, one woman died from a bus accident in DB outside the club house this week. If more taxis were allowed to DB, I am afraid there would be more such tragic accidents.</p>

對圖則的建議修訂(如有的話)

Proposed Amendments to Plan(if any):

I am opposing to adding taxi ranks in Discovery Bay, because:

1. DB has been "PRIMARILY CAR FREE" in its original terms. HKR changing to "generally car free" in the draft note is a distortion from original terms and is unlawful.
2. DB's infrastructure does not support more taxi ranks. There is not a single traffic light, no traffic police in DB. Having taxis speeding on the roads in DB will cause grave danger to DB residents.
3. The road in DB is private, and cost of repairing and maintenance comes from management fees paid by DB residents. It is unfair to have the residents pay for wear and tear on the road by taxis.

My main objection to adding taxi stands in DB is for safety. As you know, one woman died from a bus accident in DB outside the club house this week. If more taxis were allowed to DB, I am afraid there would be more such tragic accidents.

Submission Number:

TPB/R/S/I-DB/5- S2

就圖則作出申述

Representation Relating to Plan

參考編號

Reference Number:

240419-162521-56239

提交限期

Deadline for submission:

12/06/2024

提交日期及時間

Date and time of submission:

19/04/2024 16:25:21

「申述人」全名

Full Name of "Representer":

先生 Mr. Michael Gordon Palmer

「獲授權代理人」全名

Full Name of "Authorized Agent" :

與申述相關的圖則

Plan to which the representation relates:

S/I-DB/5

申述的性質及理由

Nature of and reasons for the representation:

有關事項 Subject Matters	性質 Nature	理由 Reason
Taxi Rank installation and any plan to expand access for taxis beyond Auberge hotel.	反對 Oppose	Such a plan is not in keeping with the, "generally car free" status of the area, which should not change for reasons primarily associated with safety. Due to the increased population, and significant increase in vehicle traffic within an area that has remained little changed in size, road safety is currently barely acceptable. This is aggravated by the fact there remains no, effective traffic safety enforcement. Expanding access for taxis would push the community over the edge with respect to road safety - our roads and adjacent areas would become very dangerous indeed. Indeed a pedestrian was struck and died just two days ago on Sienna Ave. Expanded taxi access is a very bad idea.

對圖則的建議修訂(如有的話)

Proposed Amendments to Plan(if any):

Improved, bookable hire car (internal vehicles) service.