

本署檔號
OUR REF: () to EP 2/G/C/174
來函檔號
YOUR REF: () in CEDD-SLO-15-4005-
010EM(R)-P002
電話
TEL. NO.:
圖文傳真
FAX NO.:
電子郵件
E-MAIL:
網址
HOMEPAGE: <http://www.epd.gov.hk>

**Environmental Protection Department
Branch Office**

28th Floor, Southorn Centre,
130 Hennessy Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong.



環境保護署分處

香港灣仔
軒尼詩道
一百三十號
修頓中心廿八樓

24 December 2021

By Register Post & Fax

Sustainable Lantau Office,
Civil Engineering and Development Department,

**Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Ordinance, Cap.499
Application for EIA Study Brief**

**Project Title: Reclamation for Kau Yi Chau Artificial Islands
(Application No. ESB-349/2021)**

I refer to your above application received on 11 November 2021 for an EIA Study Brief under Section 5(1)(a) of the EIA Ordinance.

In accordance with Section 5(7)(a) of the EIA Ordinance and after public inspection of the Project Profile, I issue the attached EIA Study Brief (No. ESB-349/2021) for your preparation of an EIA report.

Under Section 15 of the EIA Ordinance, the EIA Study Brief will be placed on the EIA Ordinance Register. It will also be placed on the EIA Ordinance website (<http://www.epd.gov.hk/eia/>).

You may submit an application for approval of the EIA report in accordance with Section 6(2) of the EIA Ordinance after its completion. Upon receipt of your application, this department will decide under Section 6(3) of the EIA Ordinance whether the EIA report meets the requirements of the EIA Study Brief and Technical Memorandum on EIA Process, and accordingly advise you under Section 6(4) of the EIA Ordinance whether a submission to the Advisory Council on the Environment (ACE) or its subcommittee is required. In this connection, you are required to provide sufficient copies of the Executive Summary of the EIA report to the Secretariat of the EIA Subcommittee of the Council for selection for submission when you submit the EIA report to this department for approval. Please liaise with Ms. Becky LAM (Tel: 2594 6323) regarding the details in due course.

/


If the EIA report is selected by ACE for submission and presentation, you are expected to provide ACE with an account of the environmental issues arising from the project, major conclusions and recommendations of the EIA study. In particular, the main environmental concerns of the general public and interest groups who may be affected by the project should be identified and addressed in the EIA study. As such, you are strongly advised to engage the public and interest groups during the course of the EIA study. Please find attached a copy of the "Modus Operandi of the EIA Subcommittee of the Advisory Council on the Environment" (**Attachment 1**) for your reference.

Please note that if you are aggrieved by any of the content of this EIA Study Brief, you may appeal under Section 17 of the EIA Ordinance within 30 days of receipt of this EIA Study Brief.

The Legislative Council passed the Air Pollution Control (Amendment) Bill 2021 on 28 April 2021 to adopt the new Air Quality Objectives which are scheduled to come into effect on 1 January 2022. I would like to draw your attention to the attached general notice entitled "The new Air Quality Objectives and assessment of air quality impact of a project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 499)" (**Attachment 2**).

Should you have any queries on the above application, please contact my colleague Mr. Flora NG at 2835 2319.

Yours sincerely,



(Victor YEUNG)

Principal Environmental Protection Officer
for Director of Environmental Protection

Encl.

c.c. (w/o encl.)

ACE EIA Subcommittee Secretariat (Attn: Ms. Becky LAM)

**MODUS OPERANDI OF THE
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT SUBCOMMITTEE OF
THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON THE ENVIRONMENT**

Purpose

This paper sets out the *modus operandi* of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Subcommittee of the Advisory Council on the Environment (ACE) so as to facilitate smooth proceedings of subcommittee meetings. The current *modus operandi* was last updated and endorsed by ACE in July 2009.

Background

2. ACE is the Government's principal advisory body on matters relating to environmental protection and nature conservation. The terms of reference of ACE are –

- (a) to keep under review the state of the environment in Hong Kong; and
- (b) to advise the Government, through the Secretary for the Environment, on appropriate measures which might be taken to combat pollution of all kinds, and to protect and sustain the environment.

3. The EIA Subcommittee is set up under ACE to study EIA reports of major development projects. It also comments on strategic environmental assessment reports of major planning projects. The terms of reference of the EIA Subcommittee are –

- (a) to receive and study EIA reports of major development projects; and
- (b) to report on its deliberations and findings and make recommendations to ACE.

EIA Process

4. ACE and the EIA Subcommittee are involved in three main stages of the EIA process, namely commenting on the project profiles for designated projects, selection of EIA reports for submission to ACE and commenting on selected EIA reports. In accordance with ETWB Technical Circular (Works) No. 13/2003, the statutory gazetting of a project under the relevant ordinances can be done in parallel with the EIA process. Separately, consultation with District Councils and other relevant parties may proceed in advance of or in parallel with the submission of EIA reports to the EIA Subcommittee.

Project Profiles

5. Under section 5 of the EIA Ordinance, ACE and members of the public may comment on the project profile of a designated project within 14 days of it being advertised. It is hence not necessary for the EIA Subcommittee to present to the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) the collective view of the EIA Subcommittee on project profiles. To ensure that comments on project profiles, if any, are given to DEP within the statutory time limit, individual ACE Members would write to DEP directly. Where necessary, the ACE Member may copy his/her comments to the Chairman and Members for information.

Selection of EIA Reports

6. Project proponents of designated projects will have to present their EIA reports to ACE if they are required to submit the reports to the Council. Members of the EIA Subcommittee will be asked to select those projects which they consider should require a presentation to the EIA Subcommittee by the project proponent. The selection outcome is for internal planning of the schedule of the EIA Subcommittee and will not be divulged to the project proponent. Only those projects selected by half or more of EIA Subcommittee Members will be selected. The project proponent concerned will be notified of the selection outcome only after DEP has decided that the EIA report is ready for public inspection and submission to ACE for advice.

7. During the project selection process, if individual EIA Subcommittee Member has special concerns/comments on a certain project, he/she could draw the EIA Subcommittee Chairman's attention to his/her concerns/comments and the Chairman would consider the need to review the decision on selection of the EIA report for submission to ACE.

8. For projects not selected, the project proponent will be required to send the Executive Summary of the EIA report to the EIA Subcommittee. Members would pass their comments, if any, to DEP directly within the prescribed public inspection period and if necessary, copy his/her comments to the Chairman and Members of the EIA Subcommittee for information. At the ACE meeting immediately following the issue of the Executive Summaries of the EIA reports, the EIA Subcommittee Chairman will report to ACE about the submission of these Executive Summaries for information of Members and record as projects not selected for discussion.

Meeting Arrangements

9. The EIA Subcommittee will basically meet on a monthly basis. Meetings will be held when there is submission of EIA report(s) or issue(s) to be discussed.

10. To facilitate focused discussion, the EIA Subcommittee will generally consider no more than two EIA reports in each meeting. EPD will prepare a paper on each EIA report to be submitted to the EIA Subcommittee highlighting the key environmental issues and major findings of the EIA study. Upon expiry of the report inspection period by the general public, EPD will summarize all public comments received during the period for consideration of the EIA Subcommittee. The project proponent, where applicable, will provide the EIA Subcommittee with a report on the site selection process of the project, setting out the alternative sites that have been considered and the reasons of the selection of the particular site when such information is not provided in the EIA report. The paper, the EIA report and the site report, if any, will normally be issued to EIA Subcommittee Members two weeks before the scheduled meeting. The summary of public comments will also be given to Members before the meeting. Members will be asked to indicate whether it is necessary for the project proponent to attend the meeting or the report could be considered by circulation. Project proponents will be informed accordingly before the scheduled meeting.

11. Summary of the public comments will also be provided to non-EIA Subcommittee Members for reference to facilitate their discussion of the EIA Subcommittee's recommendations at the next ACE meeting before the Council tenders its comments to DEP on the EIA report as provided for under the EIA Ordinance.

12. Members of the EIA Subcommittee may raise questions in writing on an EIA report before the scheduled meeting and the project proponent should provide written response to the Secretariat at least three working days before

the meeting.

13. Each discussion item on an EIA report would include a Presentation Session by the project proponent, a Question-and-Answer Session and Internal Discussion Sessions. The Presentation Session and the Question-and-Answer Session are open up for broadcasting and members of the public can view the sessions real time in the public viewing room. The EIA Subcommittee would allocate as much time to the Question-and-Answer Session as possible.

14. The presentation by the project proponent should cover, inter alia, the major conclusions and recommendations of the EIA study. In addition, the project proponent should provide a concise and objective account of the main concerns of the general public and interest groups made known during the EIA study and the public inspection stages, and explain how these concerns are addressed in the EIA study.

Criteria for Assessing EIA Reports

15. EIA reports will be assessed by the EIA Subcommittee according to the requirements of the Technical Memorandum on the EIA Process and the study brief of the individual projects issued by DEP.

Recommendations to the Full Council

16. The EIA Subcommittee can make one of the following recommendations to the full Council –

- (i) endorse the EIA report without condition; or
- (ii) endorse the EIA report with condition(s); or
- (iii) reject the EIA report and inform the proponent the right to go to the full Council.

17. If the EIA Subcommittee cannot reach a consensus (i.e. if two or more Members do not agree with the conclusion of the EIA Subcommittee) during the meeting, it may –

- (i) ask for a second submission to the EIA Subcommittee; or
- (ii) defer the decision to the full Council and highlight issues or reasons for not reaching a consensus for the full Council's deliberation.

18. Other than the scenario in paragraph 17 above or the EIA

Subcommittee Chairman considers it appropriate, the recommendations of the EIA Subcommittee will not be discussed in detail in the full Council.

Other Rules that apply to EIA Subcommittee Meetings

19. Apart from the procedures mentioned above, the following rules also apply to EIA Subcommittee meetings –

- (i) the quorum for EIA Subcommittee meetings should be half of the number of EIA Subcommittee Members, including the Chairman;
- (ii) ACE Members who are not EIA Subcommittee Members may attend EIA Subcommittee meetings and participate in the discussion of the meetings but they shall not vote when votes are taken;
- (iii) Council Members and EIA Subcommittee Members should declare direct and indirect interest before deliberating on agenda items so that the EIA Subcommittee Chairman could decide whether they should take part in the discussion or in the case of EIA Subcommittee Members to vote;
- (iv) the confirmed minutes of the EIA Subcommittee (with Members' names deleted) are uploaded on the ACE's website for public inspection;
- (v) the Presentation Session and Question-and-Answer Session of a discussion item on an EIA report at the EIA Subcommittee meeting requiring the attendance of the project proponent team will be opened to the public. The opening up of these sessions is an administrative arrangement only. The open meeting arrangements are not applicable to internal discussion sessions of a discussion item on an EIA report and all other sessions of the meetings of the EIA Subcommittee;
- (vi) special meetings may be called to consider urgent items. The EIA Subcommittee will consider each case individually should there be requests for direct submissions to the full Council;
- (vii) there will not be a limit on the number of professionals/experts to be invited to each EIA Subcommittee meeting for items requiring their assistance. In these cases and where votes are

taken, these professionals/experts shall not vote; and

- (viii) to facilitate effective deliberation at meetings of the EIA Subcommittee, the EIA Subcommittee may appoint Members to advise the EIA Subcommittee on specific subject areas of EIA reports. The appointed Members would consider the assigned subjects of an EIA report, and seek advice from the relevant authorities designated under the EIAO as necessary before EIA Subcommittee meetings.

20. The revised *modus operandi* of the EIA Subcommittee has taken effect in April 2013 upon endorsement of ACE.

**EIA Subcommittee Secretariat
April 2013**

**The New Air Quality Objectives
and assessment of air quality impact of a project under
the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (“EIAO”) (Cap. 499)**

The Legislative Council passed the Air Pollution Control (Amendment) Bill 2021 on 28 April 2021 to –

- (a) adopt the new Air Quality Objectives (“AQOs”), at **Annex A**, with effect from 1 January 2022 in respect of the Air Pollution Control (Amendment) Ordinance 2021 and EIAO;
 - (b) in relation to the EIAO, provide a transitional period to the effect that, for a project in respect of which an environmental permit (“EP”) has been issued under the EIAO before 1 January 2022, the new AQOs will not apply to an application for variation of an EP submitted within 36 months from 1 January 2022;
 - (c) introduce an administrative measure that **new Government projects** for which EIA studies have not yet commenced should endeavour to adopt the new AQOs as far as practicable; and
 - (d) on a best endeavours basis, a more stringent standard of 24-hour AQO for fine suspended particulates (FSP/PM_{2.5}) at a concentration level of 50 µg/m³ and the number of allowable exceedances of **18 days** per calendar year (in lieu of 35 days per calendar year as set out in the Amendment Bill) as the benchmark for conducting air quality impact assessment under the EIA studies.
2. As a general principle, a public officer shall apply the law prevailing at the time when he makes a decision. Hence, the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) will make the relevant decision under the EIAO based on the AQOs prevailing at the time of the decision. Some examples of decisions made under the EIAO are the decisions under –
- (a) section 5(9), 5(10) and 5(11) as to whether to grant the permission to apply directly for an EP;
 - (b) section 6(3) of the EIAO as to whether an EIA report meets the requirements of the study brief and the Technical Memorandum (“TM”) issued under the EIAO;
 - (c) section 8(3) of the EIAO as to whether to approve an EIA report;
 - (d) section 10(3) of the EIAO as to whether to issue an EP; and
 - (e) section 13 of the EIAO as to whether to grant a variation of an EP (subject to the transitional provision referred to in paragraph 1(b) above).

Application for approval of EIA report, permission to apply directly for an EP, EP, and variation of EP

3. It is important to note that the decision of EPD under the EIAO would be based on the AQOs prevailing **at the time of the decision**, not the time when the study brief of a project is issued or the time when an application under the EIAO is submitted. After an EIA report has been submitted to EPD, we may need to consult the relevant authorities pursuant to section 9.1 of the TM. Where EPD considers that the EIA report meets the requirements of the study brief and the TM, the EIA report will need to be exhibited for public inspection and may need to be sent to the Advisory Council on the Environment. Usually it takes about 6 months before EPD decides whether to approve an EIA report. The time taken will be longer if EPD needs to seek additional information from the applicant. Hence it is possible that an EIA report submitted to EPD before the new AQOs come into operation on 1 January 2022 may be considered suitable for public inspection under the existing AQOs, but the decision as to whether to approve the EIA report will be made based on the new AQOs if and when EPD makes that decision on or after 1 January 2022 as to whether to approve the EIA report. The same applies to cases where an application for permission to apply directly for an EP is submitted to EPD before the new AQOs come into operation on 1 January 2022, but the decision as to whether to grant the permission will be made based on the new AQOs if and when EPD makes that decision on or after 1 January 2022.

4. There may also be cases where the EIA report of a project has been approved or the permission to apply directly for an EP has been granted under the existing AQOs, but EPD will make the decision as to whether to issue the EP for the construction and / or operation of the project based on the new AQOs, if that decision is made on or after 1 January 2022.

Similarly, there may also be cases where the EP of a project has been issued under the existing AQOs, but EPD will make the decision as to whether to grant a variation of the EP based on the new AQOs if that decision is made on or after 1 January 2022 (subject to the transitional provision referred to in paragraph 1(b) above).

5. If you are (or you are involved in) preparing or planning to prepare an application for approval of an EIA report, permission to apply directly for an EP, EP or variation of EP under the EIAO, you may wish to bear in mind the above and consider carefully whether your project may require decisions under the EIAO to be made after the new AQOs come into operation on 1 January 2022. If such an application is submitted after the new AQOs have come into operation, it has to contain adequate information demonstrating meeting the new AQOs. If an EIA report is submitted before the new AQOs come into operation, having regard to the possibility that decisions in relation to your project under the EIAO may be made after the new AQOs have come into operation (i.e. on or after 1 January 2022), you may consider including in the EIA report additional information to demonstrate meeting the new AQOs so that the EIA report will remain adequate for supporting future decisions of this department which may be made after the new AQOs have come into operation. Otherwise, you may be required to prepare a new EIA report with the information needed to demonstrate meeting the new AQOs.

Air quality impact assessment

6. To help those who wish to carry out an air quality assessment using the new AQOs as the criteria, this department has updated the guidelines on air quality modelling and vehicle emission calculation. They are available together with other existing guidelines at the following links:

http://www.epd.gov.hk/epd/english/environmentinhk/air/guide_ref/guide_aqa_model.html

http://www.epd.gov.hk/epd/english/environmentinhk/air/guide_ref/emfac.html

7. If you have any question on air quality impact assessment using the new AQOs as the criteria, you are welcome to contact our Ms. Emily Cheng at 2835 1221.

Enquiry

8. For matters on application for approval of EIA report, EP, and variation of EP, please feel free to contact our Ms. Clara U at 2835 1837.

The New Air Quality Objectives for Hong Kong

| Pollutants | Averaging Time | Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | No. of exceedances allowed per calendar year |
|--|-----------------------|--|---|
| Sulphur Dioxide (SO_2) | 10-minute | 500 | 3 |
| | 24-hour | <u>50</u> | 3 |
| Respirable Suspended Particulates (RSP/ PM_{10}) | 1-year | 50 | Not applicable |
| | 24-hour | 100 | 9 |
| Fine Suspended Particulates (FSP/ $\text{PM}_{2.5}$) | 1-year | <u>25</u> | Not applicable |
| | 24-hour | <u>50</u> | <u>35</u> |
| Nitrogen Dioxide (NO_2) | 1-year | 40 | Not applicable |
| | 1-hour | 200 | 18 |
| Ozone (O_3) | 8-hour | 160 | 9 |
| Carbon Monoxide (CO) | 1-hour | 30,000 | 0 |
| | 8-hour | 10,000 | 0 |
| Lead (Pb) | 1-year | 0.5 | Not applicable |

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT ORDINANCE (CAP. 499)
SECTION 5 (7)

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT STUDY BRIEF NO. ESB-349/2021

PROJECT TITLE: Reclamation for Kau Yi Chau Artificial Islands
(hereinafter known as the “Project”)

NAME OF APPLICANT: Civil Engineering and Development Department
(hereinafter known as the “Applicant”)

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 An application (No. ESB-349/2021) for an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Study Brief under Section 5(1)(a) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO) was submitted by the Applicant on 11 November 2021 with a project profile (No. PP-633/2021) (the Project Profile).
- 1.2 The Applicant proposes to carry out reclamation works for the formation of the Kau Yi Chau Artificial Islands (KYCAI). The location of the Project as shown in the figure attached in the Project Profile is reproduced in **Appendix A** of this EIA Study Brief. The Project mainly comprises the following:
- (i) reclamation works in phases for an area of about 1,000 hectares using non-dredged method. The reclamation works will not encroach upon any existing islands;
 - (ii) construction and subsequent partial or complete demolition of a temporary construction access of about 7 metres in width, including at-grade road section next to the existing Fantasy Road and Penny’s Bay Quarantine Centre of about 2.5 kilometres in length and a sea viaduct section between Penny’s Bay and the reclamation area of the KYCAI of about 2 kilometres in length; and
 - (iii) dredging works in Kellett Bank to facilitate relocation of displaced anchorages.
- 1.3 Based on the information provided in the Project Profile, the following designated projects in Part I, Schedule 2 of EIAO are identified. More designated project items may be identified in the course of the EIA study:
- (i) Item C.1 - Reclamation works (including associated dredging works) more than 5 ha in size;
 - (ii) Item C.2 - Reclamation works (including associated dredging works) more

than 1 ha in size and a boundary of which (a) is less than 500 m from the nearest boundary of an existing or planned (vii) coastal protection area and (viii) conservation area;

(iii) Item C.3 - Reclamation works (a) resulting in 5% decrease in cross sectional area calculated on the basis of 0.0 mPD in a sea channel; and

(iv) Item C.12 - A dredging operation exceeding 500 000 m³.

1.4 Pursuant to Section 5(7)(a) of the EIAO, the Director of Environmental Protection (the Director) issues this EIA Study Brief to the Applicant to carry out an EIA study.

1.5 The purpose of this EIA study is to provide information on the nature and extent of environmental impacts arising from the Project. This information will contribute to decisions by the Director on:

(i) the overall acceptability of any adverse environmental consequences that are likely to arise as a result of the Project;

(ii) the conditions and requirements for the detailed design, and construction of the Project to mitigate against adverse environmental consequences wherever practicable; and

(iii) the acceptability of residual impacts after the proposed mitigation measures are implemented.

1.6 Apart from this application, on 11 November 2021 the Applicant submitted two other EIA Study Brief applications (Nos. ESB-350/2021 and ESB-351/2021) with project profiles (Nos. PP-634/2021 and PP-635/2021) respectively. The other two projects are namely "Hong Kong Island – Northeast Lantau Link" (hereinafter known as the "HKI-NEL Link") and "Kau Yi Chau Artificial Islands Development" (hereinafter known as the "KYCAI Development"). According to the applications, though these three projects are inter-related, their implementation time-frame would be different. To enable reasonable assessment and consideration of the interface issues and cumulative impacts arising from these three projects, the EIA study of the Project will not take on board the interface issues and environmental impacts arising from the other two subsequent projects when assessing the cumulative impacts. The EIA study of the "HKI-NEL Link" will take on board the interface issues and environmental impacts arising from the Project when assessing the cumulative impacts. Similarly, the EIA study of the "KYCAI Development" will take on board the interface issues and environmental impacts arising from the Project and the "HKI-NEL Link" when assessing the cumulative impacts. Under this arrangement, EIA reports of the three EIA Studies will also be considered for approval in the same sequence.

2. OBJECTIVES OF THE EIA STUDY

2.1 The objectives of the EIA study are as follows:

- (i) to describe the Project and associated works together with the requirements and environmental benefits for carrying out the proposed project;
- (ii) to identify and describe the elements of the community and environment likely to be affected by the Project and/or likely to cause adverse impacts to the Project, including both the natural and man-made environment and the associated environmental constraints;
- (iii) to identify and quantify emission sources and determine the significance of impacts on sensitive receivers and potentially affected uses, and to propose measures to mitigate these impacts;
- (iv) to identify and quantify waste management requirements and to propose measures to mitigate these impacts;
- (v) to identify and quantify any contaminated land within any Project area for development works and to propose measures to avoid disposal in the first instance;
- (vi) to identify and quantify potential losses or damage to flora, fauna and natural habitats, and to propose measures to mitigate these impacts;
- (vii) to identify and quantify potential fisheries impacts and to propose measures to mitigate these impacts;
- (viii) to identify potential landscape and visual impacts and to propose measures to mitigate these impacts;
- (ix) to identify any adverse impacts on sites of cultural heritage and to propose measures to mitigate these impacts;
- (x) to propose the provision of infrastructure or mitigation measures so as to minimise pollution, environmental disturbance and nuisance during implementation of the Project;
- (xi) to investigate the feasibility, effectiveness and implications of the proposed mitigation measures;
- (xii) to identify, predict and evaluate the residual environmental impacts (i.e. after practicable mitigation) and the cumulative impacts expected to arise from the Project in relation to the sensitive receivers and potential affected uses;
- (xiii) to identify, assess and specify methods, measures and standards, to be

included in the detailed design, and implementation of the Project which are necessary to mitigate these residual environmental impacts and cumulative effects and reduce them to acceptable levels;

- (xiv) to investigate the extent of any secondary environmental impacts that may arise from the proposed mitigation measures and to identify constraints associated with the mitigation measures recommended in the EIA study, as well as the provision of any necessary modification;
- (xv) to design and specify the environmental monitoring and audit requirements; and
- (xvi) to identify any additional studies necessary to implement the mitigation measures or monitoring and proposals recommended in the EIA report.

3. DETAILED REQUIREMENTS OF THE EIA STUDY

3.1 The Purpose

- 3.1.1 The purpose of this EIA Study Brief is to set out the purposes and objectives of the EIA study, the scope of environmental issues which shall be addressed, the requirements that the EIA study shall need to fulfil, and the necessary procedural and reporting requirements. The Applicant shall demonstrate in the EIA report whether the criteria in the relevant sections of the Technical Memorandum on the Environmental Impact Assessment Process of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (hereinafter referred to as “the TM”), are fully complied with.

3.2 The Scope

- 3.2.1 The scope of this EIA study shall cover the Project and associated works mentioned in Section 1.2 of this EIA Study Brief. For the purpose of assessing whether the environmental impacts shall comply with the criteria of the TM, the EIA study shall address the key issues described below, together with any other key issues identified during the course of the EIA study:
 - (i) comparison of the environmental benefits and dis-benefits of different alternative design(s), construction method(s) and sequence(s) for the Project;
 - (ii) potential air quality impacts on existing and planned air sensitive receivers (ASRs) from the Project;
 - (iii) potential noise impacts on existing and planned noise sensitive receivers (NSRs) from the Project;
 - (iv) potential water quality impacts on existing and planned water sensitive receivers (WSRs) and relevant water system(s) from the Project and from the potential change in hydrodynamics due to the reclamation and associated

works under the Project;

- (v) potential waste management implications arising from the Project;
- (vi) potential presence and extent of land contamination related to the construction access under the Project;
- (vii) potential ecological impacts (terrestrial and marine) arising from the Project, due to loss of habitat under the Project;
- (viii) potential fisheries impacts arising from the Project, due to the reclamation under the Project;
- (ix) potential landscape and visual impacts arising from the Project;
- (x) potential cultural heritage impacts arising from the Project;
- (xi) potential cumulative impacts arising from the Project through interaction or in combination with other existing, committed and planned projects in the vicinity of the Project, and that such impacts may have a bearing on the environmental acceptability of the Project. Such assessment shall be based on the best available information to which the Applicant is able to access at the time of the assessment; and
- (xii) identification of individual DPs proposed under the Project that fall under Schedule 2 of the EIAO, in addition to those mentioned in Section 1.3 of this EIA Study Brief; to ascertain whether the findings of this EIA study have adequately assessed and addressed the environmental impacts of those DPs; and where necessary to identify the outstanding issues that need to be assessed and addressed in any further detailed EIA studies.

3.3 Description of the Project

3.3.1 Purpose(s) and Objectives of the Project

The Applicant shall provide information on the purpose(s) and objectives of the Project, describe the need of the Project, the environmental benefits of the Project and scenarios with and without the Project.

3.3.2 Details of the Project

The Applicant shall indicate the nature and status of Project decision(s) for which the EIA study is undertaken. The Applicant shall describe Project details that may affect the potential environmental impacts and cumulative impacts, including the proposed siting, size, layout design, construction methods, sequence of construction works, and other major activities involved in the Project, using diagrams, plans and/or maps as necessary. The estimated duration of the construction phase(s) of the

Project together with the programme within these phases, where appropriate, shall be given. The areas to be taken by the Project, construction sites and any associated access arrangements and auxiliary facilities shall be shown on a scaled map.

3.3.3 Background and History of the Project

The Applicant shall provide information on the site location and site history of the Project. The key reasons for selecting the proposed layout design of the Project and the part environmental factors played in the selection shall be described. The main environmental impacts of different design and construction option(s) shall be compared with those of the recommended design and construction option of the Project and with the likely future environmental conditions in the absence of the Project.

3.4 **Technical Requirements**

3.4.1 The Applicant shall conduct the EIA study to address relevant environmental aspects of the activities as described in the scope as set out above. The assessment shall be based on the best and latest information available during the course of the EIA study.

3.4.2 The Applicant shall include in the EIA report details of the construction programme and methodologies. The Applicant shall clearly state in the EIA report the time frame and work programmes of the Project and associated works and other concurrent projects, while observing Section 1.6 of this EIA Study Brief, including staged implementation of the Project and associated works. The EIA study shall follow the technical requirements specified below and in the Appendices of this EIA Study Brief.

3.4.3 **Air Quality Impact**

3.4.3.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for evaluating and assessing the air quality impacts as stated in Section 1 of Annex 4 and Annex 12 of the TM respectively.

3.4.3.2 The assessment area for air quality impact assessment shall be defined by a distance of 500 metres from the boundary of the Project site and the works of the Project as identified in the EIA study, which shall be extended to include major existing, committed and planned air pollutant emission sources identified to have a bearing on the environmental acceptability of the Project. The assessment shall include the existing, committed and planned sensitive receivers within the assessment area as well as areas where air quality may be potentially affected by the Project. Following the arrangement stated in Section 1.6 of this EIA Study Brief, the assessment shall not include ASRs that might be introduced under the projects “HKI – NEL Link” and “KYCAI Development”. The assessment shall be based on the best available information at the time of the assessment. The assessment shall also take into account the impacts of emission sources from nearby concurrent projects, if any.

3.4.3.3 The assessment of air quality impact arising from the Project shall follow the detailed technical requirements given in **Appendices B** and **B-1** of this EIA Study Brief.

3.4.4 **Noise Impact**

3.4.4.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for evaluating and assessing the noise impacts as stated in Annexes 5 and 13 of the TM respectively.

3.4.4.2 The assessment area for the noise impact assessment shall be defined by a distance of 300 metres from the site boundary of the Project and works of the Project as identified in the EIA study. The assessment shall include noise impact assessment of the existing, committed and planned NSRs in the vicinity of the Project. Following the arrangement stated in Section 1.6 of this EIA Study Brief, the assessment shall not include NSRs that might be introduced under the projects “HKI-NEL Link” and “KYCAI Development”.

3.4.4.3 The noise impact assessment for the Project shall follow the detailed technical requirements given in **Appendix C** of this EIA Study Brief.

3.4.5 **Water Quality Impact**

3.4.5.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for evaluating and assessing water pollution as stated in Annexes 6 and 14 of the TM respectively.

3.4.5.2 The assessment area for the water quality impact assessment shall include areas within 500 metres from the site boundary of the Project, and shall be extended to cover WSRs within the North Western Water Control Zone, Western Buffer Water Control Zone, Victoria Harbour Water Control Zone and Southern Water Control Zone as designated under the Water Pollution Control Ordinance (Cap. 358) and other areas, if the WSRs within these areas are found also being impacted during the course of the EIA study and have a bearing on the environmental acceptability of the Project. Following the arrangement stated in Section 1.6 of this EIA Study Brief, the assessment shall not include WSRs that might be introduced under the projects “HKI-NEL Link” and “KYCAI Development”.

3.4.5.3 The assessment of water quality impact from the Project and from the potential change in hydrodynamics due to the reclamation and associated works under the Project shall follow the detailed technical requirements given in **Appendices D** and **D-1** of this EIA Study Brief.

3.4.6 **Waste Management Implications**

3.4.6.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for evaluating and assessing the waste management implications as stated in Annexes 7 and 15 of the TM respectively.

3.4.6.2 The assessment of waste management implications from the Project shall follow the detailed technical requirements given in **Appendix E** of this EIA Study Brief.

3.4.7 **Land Contamination (Construction Access)**

3.4.7.1 The Applicant shall follow the guidelines and requirements for evaluating and assessing potential land contamination issue(s) associated with the construction access as stated in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 of Annex 19 of the TM.

3.4.7.2 The assessment of potential land contamination issue(s) shall follow the detailed technical requirements given in **Appendix F** of this EIA Study Brief.

3.4.8 **Ecological Impact (Terrestrial and Marine)**

3.4.8.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for evaluating and assessing ecological impact as stated in Annexes 8 and 16 of the TM respectively.

3.4.8.2 The assessment area for assessing terrestrial and marine ecological impact shall include areas within 500 metres from the site boundary of the Project area and any other areas with significant hydrodynamics and water quality impacts found during the course of the EIA study and have a bearing on the environmental acceptability of the Project.

3.4.8.3 The ecological impact assessment of the Project shall follow the detailed technical requirements given in **Appendix G** of this EIA Study Brief.

3.4.9 **Fisheries Impact**

3.4.9.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for evaluating and assessing fisheries impact as stated in Annexes 9 and 17 of the TM respectively.

3.4.9.2 The assessment area for assessing fisheries impact shall include areas within 500 metres from the site boundary of the Project and any other areas with potential fisheries impacts found during the course of the EIA study and have a bearing on the environmental acceptability of the Project.

3.4.9.3 The fisheries impact from the Project shall be assessed in accordance with the detailed technical requirements given in **Appendix H** of this EIA Study Brief.

3.4.10 **Landscape and Visual Impact**

3.4.10.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for evaluating and assessing landscape and visual impact as stated in Annexes 10 and 18 of the TM respectively, and the EIAO Guidance Note No. 8/2010 "Preparation of Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment under the EIAO" and the report of "Landscape Value Mapping in HK".

3.4.10.2 The assessment area for the landscape impact assessment shall include landscape character areas and landscape resources within 500 metres from the boundary of the Project and the works of the Project as identified in the EIA, while the assessment area for the visual impact assessment shall be defined by the visual envelope of the Project. The extent of the defined visual envelope shall be shown on a plan and documented in the EIA report. Following the arrangement stated in Section 1.6 of this EIA Study Brief, the assessment shall not include landscape resources or landscape and visual impacts that might be introduced under the projects “HKI-NEL Link” and “KYCAI Development”.

3.4.10.3 The landscape and visual impact assessment arising from the Project and from the reclaimed land formed by the Project shall follow the detailed technical requirements given in **Appendix I** of this EIA Study Brief.

3.4.11 **Impact on Cultural Heritage**

3.4.11.1 The Applicant shall follow the criteria and guidelines for evaluating and assessing the cultural heritage impacts as stated in Annexes 10 and 19 of the TM respectively.

3.4.11.2 The assessment area for the cultural heritage impact assessment shall include areas within 500 metres from the site boundary of the Project and the works of the Project as identified in the EIA. The cultural heritage impact assessment shall include a Marine Archaeological Investigation (MAI) covering the area(s) which may be affected by the marine works associated with the Project and an Archaeological Impact Assessment (AIA) covering the area(s) which may be affected by the construction access. The findings of previous archaeological investigations and studies conducted within and in the vicinity of the Project area shall be reviewed to identify the potential for archaeological resources. It shall follow the detailed technical requirements given in **Appendices J and J-1** of this EIA Study Brief.

3.5 **Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Requirements**

3.5.1 The Applicant shall identify and justify in the EIA study whether there is any need for EM&A activities during implementation of the Project and, if affirmative, to define the scope of the EM&A requirements for the Project in the EIA study.

3.5.2 Subject to the confirmation of the EIA study findings, the Applicant shall comply with the requirements as stipulated in Annex 21 of the TM.

3.5.3 The Applicant shall prepare a Project Implementation Schedule (in the form of a checklist as shown in **Appendix K**) containing the EIA study recommendations and mitigation measures with reference to the implementation programme.

3.6 **Presentation of Summary Information**

3.6.1 Summary of Environmental Outcomes

The EIA report shall contain a summary of key environmental outcomes arising from the EIA study, including estimated population protected from various environmental impacts, environmentally sensitive areas protected, environmentally friendly options considered and incorporated in the preferred option, environmental designs recommended, key environmental problems avoided, compensation areas included and the environmental benefits of environmental protection measures recommended.

3.6.2 Summary of Environmental Impacts

To facilitate effective retrieval of pertinent key information, the EIA report shall contain a summary table of environmental impacts showing the assessment points, results of impact predictions, relevant standards or criteria, extents of exceedances predicted, impact avoidance measures considered, mitigation measures proposed and residual impacts (after mitigation). This summary shall cover each individual impact and shall also form an essential part of the executive summary of the EIA report.

3.6.3 Documentation of Key Assessment Assumptions, Limitation of Assessment Methodologies and related Prior Agreement(s) with the Director

The EIA report shall contain a summary including the assessment methodologies and key assessment assumptions adopted in the EIA study, the limitations of these assessment(s) methodologies/assumptions, if any, plus relevant prior agreement(s) with the Director or other Authorities on individual environmental media assessment components. The proposed use of any alternative assessment tool(s) or assumption(s) have to be justified by the Applicant, with supporting documents based on cogent, scientific and objectively derived reason(s) before seeking the Director's agreement. The supporting documents shall be provided in the EIA report.

3.6.4 Summary of Alternative Mitigation Measures

The EIA report shall contain a summary of alternative development scenarios and mitigation measures considered during the course of the EIA study, including design, scale/size of the above-ground structures, extent, land use/layout options and mode of operation as well as construction methods, disposal/treatment methods and sequences of works for the Project, with a view to avoiding or minimizing adverse environmental impacts. A comparison of the environmental benefits and dis-benefits of applying different development scenarios and mitigation measures shall be made. This summary shall cover the key impacts and shall also form an essential part of the executive summary of the EIA report.

3.6.5 Documentation of Public Concerns

The EIA report shall contain a summary of the main concerns of the general public,

special interest groups and the relevant statutory or advisory bodies received and identified by the Applicant during the course of the EIA study, and describe how the relevant concerns have been taken into account.

4. DURATION OF VALIDITY

- 4.1 The Applicant shall notify the Director of the commencement of the EIA study. If the EIA study does not commence within 36 months after the date of issue of this EIA Study Brief, the Applicant shall apply to the Director for a fresh EIA Study Brief before commencement of the EIA study.

5. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- 5.1 In preparing the EIA report, the Applicant shall refer to Annex 11 of the TM for the contents of an EIA report. The Applicant shall also refer to Annex 20 of the TM, which stipulates the guidelines for the review of an EIA report. When submitting the EIA report to the Director, the Applicant shall provide a summary, pointing out where in the EIA report the respective requirements of this EIA Study Brief and the TM (in particular Annexes 11 and 20) have been addressed and fulfilled.
- 5.2 The Applicant shall supply the Director with hard and electronic copies of the EIA report and the executive summary in accordance with the requirements given in **Appendix L** of this EIA Study Brief. The Applicant shall, upon request, make additional copies of the above documents available to the public, subject to payment by the interested parties of full costs of printing.
- 5.3 To facilitate enhanced public engagement in the EIA process, the Applicant shall produce 3-dimensional electronic visualisations of the key findings of the EIA report so that the public can better understand the Project and the associated environmental issues. The EIA findings to be included in the 3-dimensional presentation shall be agreed with EPD and may include baseline environmental information, the environmental situations with or without the Project including the associated works, supporting facilities and essential infrastructures; key mitigated and unmitigated environmental impacts; key recommended environmental mitigation measures. The visualisations shall be based on the EIA report findings and shall be developed and constructed such that they can be accessed and viewed by the public through an internet browser and/or other tools of 3-dimensional electronic visualisations (e.g., Virtual Reality, Augmented Reality, Mixed Reality, etc.) at a reasonable speed and without the need for software license requirement at the user's end. The visualisations shall be submitted in 10 copies of CD-ROM, DVD±R or other suitable means as agreed with the Director.

6. OTHER PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

- 6.1 If there is any change in the name of Applicant for this EIA Study Brief during the course of the EIA study, the Applicant must notify the Director immediately.

- 6.2 If there is any key change in the scope of the Project mentioned in Section 1.2 of this EIA Study Brief and in the Project Profile (No. PP-633/2021), the Applicant must seek confirmation from the Director in writing on whether or not the scope of issues covered by this EIA Study Brief can still cover the key changes, and the additional issues, if any, that the EIA study must also address. If the changes to the Project fundamentally alter the key scope of the EIA Study Brief, the Applicant shall apply to the Director for a fresh EIA Study Brief.

7. LIST OF APPENDICES


- 7.1 This EIA Study Brief includes the following appendices:

| | | |
|--------------|---|--|
| Appendix A | - | Project Location Plan |
| Appendix B | - | Requirements for Air Quality Impact Assessment |
| Appendix B-1 | - | Air Quality Modelling Guidelines |
| Appendix C | - | Requirements for Noise Impact Assessment |
| Appendix D | - | Requirements for Water Quality Impact Assessment |
| Appendix D-1 | - | Requirements for Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Modelling |
| Appendix E | - | Requirements for Waste Management Implication |
| Appendix F | - | Requirements for Land Contamination Impact Assessment |
| Appendix G | - | Requirements for Ecological Impact Assessment (Terrestrial and Marine) |
| Appendix H | - | Requirements for Fisheries Impact Assessment |
| Appendix I | - | Requirements for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment |
| Appendix J | - | Requirements for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment |
| Appendix J-1 | - | Guidelines for Marine Archaeological Investigation |
| Appendix K | - | Requirements for Implementation Schedule |
| Appendix L | - | Requirements for EIA Report Documents |

--- END OF EIA STUDY BRIEF ---

December 2021
Environmental Assessment Division
Environmental Protection Department

Appendix A



Project Title : Reclamation for Kau Yi Chau Artificial Islands

工程項目名稱 : 交椅洲人工島填海工程

(This figure is prepared based on Figure 1.1 of Project Profile No.: PP-633/2021)

(本圖是根據工程項目簡介 PP-633/2021 圖 1.1 編製)

EIA Study Brief No. :

環評研究概要編號 :

ESB-349/2021

Appendix A: Project Location Plan

附錄 A: 工程項目位置圖



Appendix B**Requirements for Air Quality Impact Assessment**

1. **Background and Analysis of Activities**
 - (i) Provision of background information relating to air quality issues relevant to the Project, e.g. description of the types of activities of the Project that may affect air quality during implementation of the Project.
 - (ii) Provision of an account, where appropriate, of the consideration/measures that have been taken into consideration in the planning of the Project to avoid and minimise the air pollution impact. The Applicant shall consider alternative construction methods/phasing programmes to minimise the air quality impact during implementation of the Project.
 - (iii) Present the background air quality levels in the assessment area for the purpose of evaluating the cumulative constructional air quality impacts. Projection of future year background air quality shall be based on the "Pollutants in the Atmosphere and their Transport over Hong Kong" (PATH) model released by EPD with necessary modification according to the emission scenarios(s) of the assessment year(s). Any modifications made to the PATH model should be clearly presented with supporting information.

2. **Identification of Air Sensitive Receivers (ASRs) and Examination of Emission/Dispersion Characteristics**
 - (i) Identification and description of the existing, committed and planned ASRs that would likely be affected by the Project. The Applicant shall select the assessment points of the identified ASRs that represent the worst impact point of these ASRs. A map clearly showing the location and description such as name of the buildings, uses and height of the selected assessment points shall be given. The separation distances of these ASRs from the nearest emission sources during implementation shall also be given.
 - (ii) Provision of a list of air pollutant emission sources, including any nearby emission sources which are likely to have impact related to the Project based on the analysis of the construction activities in Section 1 above. Examples of such emission sources include material handling, stockpiling, construction plant and equipment, marine vessel and vehicular movements, etc. Confirmation regarding the validity of assumptions and the magnitude of activities (e.g. volume of construction materials to be handled) shall be obtained from the relevant government departments/authorities and documented in the EIA report. Validity of the traffic flow, vehicle fleet mix (including the vehicle classes covered by EMFAC-HK model, electric and

other new energy vehicles) and speed prediction of road sections for the purpose of air quality impact assessment, where necessary, shall be confirmed with Transport Department and documented in the EIA report.

- (iii) Identification of chimneys and obtainment of relevant chimney emission data in the assessment area, where appropriate, by carrying out a survey for assessing the cumulative air quality impact of air pollutants through chimneys. The Applicant shall ensure and confirm the validity of the emission data used in their assessment. Any errors found in their emission data used may render the submission invalid.
- (iv) The emissions from any concurrent projects identified as relevant during the course of the EIA study shall be taken into account as contributing towards the overall cumulative air quality impact. The impacts at the existing, committed and planned ASRs within the assessment area shall be assessed, based on the best information available at the time of assessment.

3. Air Quality Impact

- (i) The Applicant follows the requirements stipulated under the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation to ensure that construction dust impacts are controlled within the relevant standards as stipulated in Section 1 of Annex 4 of the TM.
- (ii) If the Applicant anticipates that the Project will give rise to significant air quality impacts likely to exceed the recommended limits in the TM at the ASRs despite the incorporation of the air quality control measures proposed, a quantitative assessment shall be carried out to evaluate the air quality impact at the identified ASRs. The Applicant shall follow the methodology set out below when carrying out the quantitative assessment.
- (iii) Where necessary, the Applicant shall consider and evaluate direct mitigation measures, including but not limited to water-spraying, re-scheduling construction programme to minimize concurrent dust impact arising from different construction sites, for fugitive dust control. The Applicant shall also consider connecting construction plant and equipment to mains electricity supply and avoid use of diesel generators and diesel-powered equipment as far as practicable to minimise air quality impact arising from the equipment. Zero emission or clean fuels shall be considered as far as practicable for transportation activities. The Applicant shall describe the means of transportation and their routings involved, with a view to addressing potential air quality impact caused by transportation activities including marine vessels. Any mitigation measures recommended for air quality control should be well documented in the EIA report.

- (iv) A monitoring and audit programme for the Project shall be devised to verify the effectiveness of the proposed control measures so as to ensure proper control of emissions.

4. Quantitative Assessment Methodology

- (i) The Applicant conducts the quantitative assessment with reference to relevant sections of the modelling guidelines stated in Appendix B-1 while making allowance for the specific characteristic of the Project. This specific methodology must be documented in such level of details, preferably with tables and diagrams, to allow the readers of the EIA report to grasp how the model has been set up to simulate the situation under study without referring to the model input files. In case of doubt, prior agreement between the Applicant and the Director on specific modelling details should be sought.
- (ii) For the purpose of assessing the compliance with the criteria as stated in Section 1 of Annex 4 of the TM, the Applicant shall identify the key/representative air pollution parameters (types of pollutants and the averaging time concentrations) to be evaluated and provide explanation for selecting these parameters for assessing the impact of the Project.
- (iii) Calculation of the relevant pollutant emission rates for input to the model and a map(s) showing the emission sources shall be presented in the EIA report. A summary table of the emission rates shall be presented in the EIA report. The Applicant shall ensure consistency between the text description and the model files in all submissions for review. In case of doubt, prior agreement between the Applicant and the Director on the specific modelling details should be sought.
- (iv) For air quality impact assessment, the Applicant shall demonstrate the use of the emission data of the future road traffic represents the highest emission scenario within the construction phase concerned. The Applicant may use EMFAC-HK model released by EPD to determine the Fleet Average Emission Factors, taking into account vehicle fleet mix and other necessary data on each road section. Vehicle emissions, including running, start/idling emission, at parking sites that would contribute significantly to the overall cumulative air quality impact at nearby ASRs shall be taken into account in the assessment. Unless otherwise agreed by the Director, the latest version of the EMFAC-HK model shall be used. Use of any alternatives to the EMFAC-HK model shall be agreed with the Director. The traffic flow data and assumptions, such as the hourly traffic volume, average speed, vehicle composition, number of trips and soaking time data, the exhaust technology fractions, vehicle age/population distribution, etc. that are used in the assessment shall be presented.

- (v) Emissions from road traffic, marine traffic, other industrial sources and nearby concurrent projects within the assessment area, which contribute to be cumulative air quality impact of the identified ASRs, should be taken into account and be included in the appropriate air quality models accepted by the Director.
- (vi) For estimating the future background air quality, the latest version of the PATH model shall be adopted with necessary modification. The emission inventory, including but not limited to vehicular and marine emissions, of the PATH model should be reviewed and, if necessary, modified based on the latest available information at the time of the assessment to better reflect the emission scenario(s) of the assessment year(s). Double-counted near-field emission sources, if any, should be removed in the background air quality estimation. If there are substantial changes of land use in the assessment area, the Applicant shall review the influences of the changes in land use on the meteorological conditions and make necessary modification on the meteorological input data for the air quality modelling assessment. Details of the modifications to the PATH model should be presented with supporting information. In general, major point sources located within 4 kilometres (km) from the identified ASRs shall be reviewed if they have direct contributions of air quality impacts to the ASRs on the concerned pollutants of the assessment. In such case, these point sources shall be simulated by dispersion models to account for their induced sub-grid scale spatial variations in background air quality. The exact approach shall be case-specific and subject to the agreement by the Director.
- (vii) The Applicant shall calculate the cumulative air quality impact at the identified ASRs and compare these results against the criteria set out in Section 1 of Annex 4 in the TM. The predicted air quality impacts shall be presented in the form of summary table(s) and pollution contours, to be evaluated against the relevant air quality standards and on any effect they may have on the land use implications. Plans of a suitable scale shall be used to present pollution contours to allow buffer distance requirements to be determined properly.

5. Mitigation Measures for Air Quality Impact

- (i) When the predicted air quality impact exceeds the criteria set in section 1 of Annex 4 in the TM, the Applicant shall consider mitigation measures but not limited to dust control measures, minimization of marine and vehicular emissions (e.g. control the number of trips and routing, use cleaner fuels, adopt higher emission standards, etc.) and minimization of emissions from construction plant and equipment to reduce the air quality impact on the

identified ASRs. The feasibility, practicability, programming and effectiveness of the recommended mitigation measures shall be assessed and documented in the EIA report. Specific reasons for not adopting certain workable mitigation measures to reduce the air quality to a level meeting the criteria in the TM or to maximize the protection of the ASRs as far as possible should be clearly substantiated and documented in the EIA report.

- (ii) Upon consideration of mitigation measures, if the mitigated air quality impact still exceeds the relevant criteria in Annex 4 of the TM, the Applicant identifies, predicts, and evaluates the residual air quality impact in accordance with Section 4.4.3 and Section 4.5.1 (d) of the TM.

6. Submission of Emission Calculation Details and Model Files

- (i) The input and output file(s) of model run(s) including those files for generating the pollution contours and emission calculations work sheets shall be submitted to the Director in an electronic format together with the submission of the relevant deliverables for the EIA Report.

Appendix B-1

Air Quality Modelling Guidelines

[The information contained in this Appendix is meant to assist the Consultant in performing the air quality assessment. The Applicant exercises professional judgment in applying this general information.]

The air quality modelling guidelines refer to the guidelines as published on the website of the Environmental Protection Department:

http://www.epd.gov.hk/epd/english/environmentinhk/air/guide_ref/guide_aqa_model.html

Appendix C**Requirements for Noise Impact Assessment**

1. Description of the Noise Environment
 - (i) The Applicant shall describe the prevailing noise environment in the EIA report.

2. Noise Impact Assessment
 - (i) Noise Impact Assessment Methodology
 - (a) The Applicant shall carry out noise impact assessment (excluding percussive piling) of the Project during daytime, i.e. 7am to 7pm, on weekdays other than general holidays in accordance with methodology in paragraphs 5.3 and 5.4 of Annex 13 of the TM; and
 - (b) The Applicant shall conduct a qualitative assessment in the EIA to demonstrate no adverse noise impact would be associated with the project by adopting quieter construction method and equipment during construction stages. The Applicant shall firstly identify the major noise sources / activities, then propose the corresponding quiet construction methods, and commit to submit a Construction Noise Management Plan (CNMP) to the Director.
 - (ii) Identification of Noise Impact
 - (a) Identification of Assessment Area and Noise Sensitive Receivers (NSRs)
 - (A) The Applicant shall propose the assessment area for agreement of the Director before commencing the assessment. The assessment area for the noise impact assessment shall generally include areas within 300 metres from the boundary of the Project and the works of the Project;
 - (B) The Applicant shall identify existing, committed and planned NSRs in the assessment area and select assessment points to represent identified NSRs for carrying out noise impact assessment;
 - (C) The assessment points shall be confirmed with the Director before commencing the assessment and may be varied subject to the best and latest information available during the course of the EIA study; and

- (D) A map showing the location and description such as name of building, use, and floor of each and every selected assessment point shall be given. Photographs of existing NSRs shall be appended to the EIA report.
- (b) Inventory of Noise Sources
- (A) The Applicant shall identify an inventory of noise sources for representative construction equipment for the purpose of noise impact assessment. Validity of the inventory shall be confirmed with the relevant government departments, authorities or the applicant's construction professionals and documented in the EIA report.
- (iii) Mitigation of Noise Impact
- (a) The applicant shall consider and evaluate the application of direct mitigation measures including but not limited to, quieter construction method and equipment, barrier, enclosures, etc. The feasibility, practicability, programming and effectiveness of the recommended mitigation measures shall be qualitatively assessed. Any direct mitigation measures recommended should be well documented in the report. Specific reasons for not adopting certain direct mitigation measures to maximise the protection for the NSRs as far as possible should be clearly substantiated and documented in the EIA report.
- (iv) Construction Noise Management Plan
- (a) The Applicant shall propose to submit a CNMP to the Director. The CNMP will contain the quantitative noise impact assessment, the adopted quieter construction method and equipment, noise mitigation measures and the noise impact monitoring and audit programme, with reference to the updated and identified plant inventories once available and in any case before the tender invitation if there is any change to the noise mitigation measures and/or plant inventory recommended in the EIA report and before the commencement of the Project. Any technical constraint that would hinder the use of these quieter construction method and equipment shall be evaluated and clearly recorded in the assessment; and
- (b) The CNMP will include an implementation schedule clearly listing out the mitigation measures, the implementation party, location and timing of implementation. M
- (c) Mitigation measures recommended and requirements specified in the CNMP shall be fully implemented.

Appendix D**Requirements for Water Quality Impact Assessment**

1. The Applicant shall identify and analyse physical, chemical and biological disruptions of the water system(s) arising from the Project.
2. The Applicant shall predict, quantify and assess any water quality impacts arising from the Project by appropriate mathematical modelling and/or other techniques proposed by the Applicant and approved by the Director. The mathematical modelling requirements are set out in Appendix D-1. Possible impacts due to the dredging, filling, transportation and disposal of dredged/excavated materials, other marine works activities, effluent discharge and site runoff shall include changes in hydrology, flow regime, sediment erosion and deposition patterns, morphological change of seabed profile, water and sediment quality. The prediction shall include possible different construction stage or sequences of the Project. Affected sensitive receivers shall be identified by the assessment tool with indications of degree of severity.
3. The assessment shall include, but not be limited to the following:
 - (i) the water quality impacts of marine works, the site run-off such as the effluents generated from dewatering associated with piling activities and those specified in the ProPECC PN 1/94 during construction;
 - (ii) the water quality impacts arising from dredging works, including change in suspended solids and dissolved oxygen concentration, sediment plume dispersion, contaminant and nutrient release;
 - (iii) the change in hydrological condition due to formation of the reclaimed land, marine based piers/structures of construction access, the rearranged anchorages area and channels of nearby Islands which may be resulted in changing in water quality; and
 - (iv) the water quality impacts on seawater intake points, beaches, watercourses, fish culture zones, coral communities, other water sensitive receivers and areas of ecological or conservation values which may be affected by the Project.
4. The Applicant shall address water quality impacts due to the Project. Essentially, the assessment shall address the following:
 - (i) collect and review background information on affected existing and planned water systems, their respective catchments and sensitive receivers which might be affected by the Project;

- (ii) characterise water quality of the water systems and sensitive receivers, which might be affected by the Project based on existing best available information and through appropriate site survey and tests when existing data are insufficient;
- (iii) identify and analyse relevant existing and planned future activities, beneficial uses and water sensitive receivers (WSRs) related to the affected water system(s). The Applicant should refer to, *inter alia*, those developments and uses earmarked on the relevant Outline Zoning Plans, Development Permission Area Plans, Outline Development Plans and Layout Plans, and any other relevant published land use plans;
- (iv) identify pertinent water quality objectives and establish other appropriate water quality criteria or standards for the water system(s) and the sensitive receivers identified in (i), (ii) & (iii) above;
- (v) review the specific construction methods including the demolition of construction access (if required) and configurations of the Project to identify and predict the likely water quality impacts arising from the Project;
- (vi) identify any alternation of any drainage systems, water courses, natural streams, change of water holding/flow regimes of water bodies, change of catchment types or areas; erosion or sedimentation due to the Project and any other hydrological changes in the Assessment Area;
- (vii) identify and quantify existing and likely future water pollution sources, including point discharges and non-point sources discharges to the water systems, sewage from the workforce polluted discharge generated from the Project, contaminant release from works on marine sediment and sediment release or re-suspension from works into water bodies;
- (viii) provide an emission inventory on the quantities and characteristics of those existing and future pollution sources in the Assessment Area. Field investigation and laboratory test, shall be conducted as appropriate to fill relevant information gaps;
- (ix) predict and quantify the impacts on the water system(s) and their sensitive receivers due to the alterations, changes and the pollution sources identified above. Possible impacts include change in hydrology, flow regime, water quality and release of contaminants, etc. The prediction shall take into account and include possible different construction stages of the Project;
- (x) assess the cumulative impacts due to other related concurrent and planned projects, activities or pollution sources within the assessment area that may have a bearing on the environmental acceptability of the Project;

- (xi) analyse the provision and adequacy of existing and planned future facilities to reduce pollution arising from the point and non-point sources identified in (vii) above;
- (xii) develop effective infrastructure upgrading or provision, contingency plan, water pollution prevention and mitigation measures to be implemented during construction stage of the Project so as to reduce the water quality impacts to within standards. Requirements to be incorporated in the Project contract document shall also be proposed;
- (xiii) investigate and develop best management practices to reduce storm water, non-point source pollution, as appropriate; and
- (xiv) evaluate and quantify residual impacts on water system(s) and the sensitive receivers with regard to the appropriate water quality objectives, criteria, standards or guidelines.

Appendix D-1**Requirements for Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Modelling**1. Modelling software general

- (i) The modelling software shall be fully 3-dimensional capable of accurately simulating the stratified condition, salinity transport, and effects of wind and tide on the water body within the model area.
- (ii) The modelling software shall consist of hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport, thermal and particle dispersion modules. All modules shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.
- (iii) The hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment transport and thermal modules shall be strictly mass conserved at all levels.
- (iv) An initial dilution model shall be used to characterize the initial mixing of the effluent discharge, and to feed the terminal level and size of the plume into the far field water quality modules where necessary. The initial dilution model shall have been proven with successful applications locally and overseas.

2. Model details – Calibration & Validation

- (i) The models shall be properly calibrated and validated against applicable existing and/or newly collected field data before their use in this study in the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan (Lema) Channel. The field data set for calibration and validation shall be agreed with EPD.
- (ii) Tidal data shall be calibrated and validated in both frequency and time domain manner.
- (iii) For the purpose of calibration and validation, the model shall run for not less than 15 days of real sequence of tide (excluding model spin up) in both dry and wet seasons with due consideration of the time required to establish initial conditions.
- (iv) In general the hydrodynamic models shall be calibrated to the following criteria:

| <u>Criteria</u> | <u>Level of fitness with field data</u> |
|---|---|
| • tidal elevation (@) | < 8 % |
| • maximum phase error at high water and low water | < 20 minutes |
| • maximum current speed deviation | < 30 % |
| • maximum phase error at peak speed | < 20 minutes |
| • maximum direction error at peak speed | < 15 degrees |
| • maximum salinity deviation | < 2.5 ppt |

@ Root mean square of the error including the mean and fluctuating components shall meet the criteria at no less than 80% of the monitoring stations in the model domain

(v) The Applicant shall be responsible for acquiring/developing and calibration of the models for use in this study themselves. They may make reference to the models developed under the Update on Cumulative Water Quality and Hydrological Effect of Coastal Developments and Upgrading of Assessment Tool (Agreement No. CE 42/97). They may also propose to use other models subject to agreement with EPD.

3. Model details – Simulation

(i) The water quality modelling results shall be qualitatively explainable, and any identifiable trend and variations in water quality shall be reproduced by the model. The water quality model shall be able to simulate and take account of the interaction of dissolved oxygen, phytoplankton, organic and inorganic nitrogen, phosphorus, silicate, BOD, temperature, suspended solids, contaminants release of dredged and disposed material, air-water exchange, E. coli and benthic processes. It shall also simulate salinity. Salinity results simulated by hydrodynamic models and water quality models shall be demonstrated to be consistent.

(ii) The sediment transport module for assessing impacts of sediment loss due to marine works shall include the processes of settling, deposition and re-erosion. The values of the modelling parameters shall be agreed with EPD. Contaminants release and DO depletion during dredging and dumping shall be simulated by the model.

(iii) The thermal model shall be based on the flow field produced by the hydrodynamic model. It shall incorporate the physical processes of thermal/cooled water discharge and abstraction flow, buoyancy effect of the thermal plume, and surface heat exchange. Dispersion of biocides in the discharge shall also be simulated with appropriate decay rates.

(iv) The models shall at least cover the Hong Kong waters, the Pearl Estuary and the Dangan Channel to incorporate major influences on hydrodynamic and water quality. A fine grid model may be used for detailed assessment of this study. It shall either be linked to a far field model or form part of a larger model by gradual grid refinement. The coverage of the fine grid model shall be properly designed such that it is remote enough so that the boundary conditions will not be affected by the Project. The model coverage area shall be agreed with EPD.

- (v) In general, grid size at the area affected by the Project shall be less than 400 m in open waters and less than 75 m around sensitive receivers. The grid shall also be able to reasonably represent coastal features existing and proposed in the Project. The grid schematization shall be agreed with EPD.

4. Modelling Assessment

- (i) The assessment shall include the Project and from the potential change in hydrodynamic due to the reclamation under the Project. Where appropriate, the assessment shall also include maintenance dredging. Scenarios to be assessed shall cover the baseline condition and scenarios with various different options proposed by the Applicant in order to quantify the environmental impacts and improvements that will be brought about by these options. Corresponding pollution load, bathymetry and coastline shall be adopted in the model set up.
- (ii) If applicable, the assessment shall cover accidental spillage associated with the Project. Potential locations, quantities and rates of spill shall be identified and quantified. The spill modelling shall cover combinations of different tides, wind and season conditions. The methodology for modelling spill and scenarios to be covered should be agreed with EPD.
- (iii) Hydrodynamic and thermal modules, where appropriate, shall be run for (with proper model spin up) at least a real sequence of 15 days spring-neap tidal cycle in both the dry season and the wet season.
- (iv) Water quality and sediment transport modules shall run for (with proper model spin up) a complete year incorporating monthly variations in Pearl River discharges, solar radiation, water temperature and wind velocity in the operational stage. Construction stage impacts, cooling water discharge and floating refuse and debris entrapment may be assessed by simulating typical spring-neap cycles in the dry and wet seasons.
- (v) For assessing temporary discharges via the emergency outfall, the Applicant shall estimate discharge loading, pattern and duration. The worst case scenario shall include discharge near slack water of neap tide. A period of at least 15 days spring-neap cycle in wet season, but long enough for recovery of the receiving water, shall be simulated. Detailed methodology shall be agreed with EPD.
- (vi) The results shall be assessed for compliance of Water Quality Objectives.
- (vii) Any changes in hydrodynamic regime shall be assessed. Daily erosion/sedimentation rate shall be computed and its water quality impact on water sensitive receivers shall be assessed.
- (viii) The impact on identified sensitive receivers shall be assessed.

- (ix) Cumulative impacts due to other projects, activities or pollution sources within a boundary to the agreement of EPD shall also be predicted and quantified.
- (x) All modelling input data and results shall be submitted in digital media to EPD upon request.

Appendix E**Requirements for Assessment of Waste Management Implications**

The assessment of waste management implications shall cover the following:

1. Analysis of Activities and Waste Generation

- (i) The Applicant shall identify the quantity, quality and timing of the waste arising as a result of the construction activities of the Project, based on the sequence and duration of these activities, e.g. any dredged/excavated sediment/mud, construction and demolition (C&D) materials, floating refuse and other wastes which would be generated during construction stage.
- (ii) The Applicant shall adopt appropriate design, general layout, construction methods and programme to minimize the generation of public fill/inert C&D materials and maximize the use of public fill/inert C&D materials for other construction works.

2. Proposal for Waste Management

- (i) Prior to considering the disposal options for various types of wastes, opportunities for reducing waste generation, on-site or off-site re-use and recycling shall be fully evaluated. Measures which can be taken in the planning and design stages (e.g. by modifying the design approach) and in the construction stage for maximizing waste reduction shall be separately considered.
- (ii) After considering the opportunities for reducing waste generation and maximizing re-use, the types and quantities of the wastes required to be disposed of as a consequence shall be estimated and the disposal methods/options for each type of wastes shall be described in detail. The disposal methods/options recommended for each type of wastes shall take into account the result of the assessment in sub-section (iv) below.
- (iii) The EIA report shall state the transportation routings and the frequency of the trucks/vessels involved, any barging point or conveyor system to be used, the stockpiling areas and the disposal outlets for the waste identified.
- (iv) The impact caused by handling (including stockpiling, labelling, packaging and storage), collection, transportation and reuse/disposal of wastes shall be addressed in detail and appropriate mitigation measures shall be proposed. This assessment shall cover the following areas:
 - potential hazard;
 - air and odour emissions;

- noise;
- wastewater discharge; and
- public transport.

3. Dredging/Excavation and Dumping

- (i) The Applicant shall identify and estimate dredging/excavation, dredged/excavated sediment/mud transportation and disposal activities and requirements. Potential dumping ground to be involved shall also be identified. Appropriate field investigation, sampling and chemical and biological laboratory tests to characterise the sediment/mud concerned shall be conducted for marine disposal option. The ranges of parameters to be analysed; the number, type and methods of sampling; sample preservation; chemical and biological laboratory test methods to be used shall be agreed with the Director (with reference to Section 4.4.2(c) of the TM) prior to the commencement of the tests and document in the EIA report for consideration. The categories of sediment/mud which are to be disposed of in accordance with the Dumping at Sea Ordinance (DASO) shall be identified by both chemical and biological tests and their quantities shall be estimated. If the presence of contamination of sediment/mud which requires special treatment/disposal is confirmed, the Applicant shall identify the appropriate treatment and/or disposal arrangement and demonstrate its viability in consultation with relevant authorities.
- (ii) The Applicant shall identify and evaluate the practical dredging/excavation methods to minimise dredging/excavation and dumping requirements based on the criterion that existing sediment/mud shall be left in place and not to be disturbed as far as possible.

Appendix F**Requirements for Land Contamination Impact Assessment**

1. The Applicant shall identify the potential land contamination site(s) associated with the construction access within the Project area (Appendix A refers) and, if any, within the boundaries of associated areas (e.g. work areas) of the Project.
2. The Applicant shall provide a clear and detailed account of the present land use (including description of the activities, chemicals and hazardous substances handled, with clear indication of their storage and location, by reference to a site layout plan) and a complete past land uses history, in chronological order, in relation to possible land contamination (including accident records and change of land use(s) and the like).
3. If any contaminated land uses as stated in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 of Annex 19 in the TM are identified, the Applicant shall carry out the land contamination assessment as detailed from sub-sections (i) to (iii) below and propose measure(s) to avoid disposal:
 - (i) During the course of the EIA study, the Applicant shall submit a Contamination Assessment Plan (CAP) to the Director for endorsement prior to conducting an actual contamination impact assessment of the land or site(s). The CAP shall include proposal with details on representative sampling and analysis required to determine the nature and the extent of the contamination of the land or site(s). Alternatively, the Applicant may refer to other previously agreed and still relevant and valid CAP(s) for the concerned site(s).
 - (ii) Based on the endorsed CAP, the Applicant shall conduct a land contamination impact assessment and submit a Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) to the Director for endorsement. If land contamination is confirmed, a Remediation Action Plan (RAP) to formulate viable remedial measures with supporting documents, such as agreement by the relevant facilities management authorities, shall be submitted to the Director for approval. The Applicant shall then clean up the contaminated land or site(s) according to the approved RAP, and a Remediation Report (RR) to demonstrate adequate clean-up should be prepared and submitted to the Director for endorsement prior to the commencement of any development or redevelopment works within the Project Area. The CAP, CAR and RAP shall be documented in the EIA report.
 - (iii) If there are potential contaminated sites which are inaccessible for conducting sampling and analysis during the course of the EIA study, e.g. due to site access problem, the Applicant's CAP shall include:
 - (a) a review of the available and relevant information;

- (b) an initial contamination evaluation of these sites and possible remediation methods;
- (c) a confirmation of whether the contamination problem at these sites would be surmountable;
- (d) a sampling and analysis proposal which shall aim at determining the nature and the extent of the contamination of these sites; and
- (e) where appropriate, a schedule of submission of revised or supplementary CAP, CAR, RAP and RR as soon as these sites become accessible.

Appendix G**Requirements for Ecological Impact Assessment (Terrestrial and Marine)**

1. The Applicant shall examine the flora, fauna and other components of the ecological habitats within the assessment area. The aim shall be to protect, maintain or rehabilitate the natural environment. In particular, the Project shall avoid or minimise impacts on recognized sites of conservation importance and other ecologically sensitive areas. The assessment shall identify and quantify as far as possible the potential ecological impacts associated with the Project, both directly by physical disturbance and indirectly by change of water quality and hydrodynamic regime to important habitats and the associated wildlife groups/species.
2. The assessment shall include the following major tasks:
 - (i) review the findings of relevant studies/surveys and collate the available information regarding the ecological characters of the assessment area;
 - (ii) evaluate the information collected, identify any information gap relating to the assessment of potential ecological impact, and determine the ecological field surveys and investigations that are needed for an impact assessment as required in the following sub-sections;
 - (iii) carry out necessary ecological field surveys with a duration of 12 months covering both wet and dry seasons, and investigation to verify the information collected, fill the information gaps as identified in sub-section (ii) above, and to fulfil the objectives of the EIA study. The field surveys shall cover but not be limited to flora, fauna and any other habitats/species of conservation importance, and shall include marine mammal survey, subtidal and intertidal survey, benthic community survey, and underwater dive survey for coral communities;
 - (iv) establish the ecological profile of the assessment area based on information collected in the tasks mentioned in sub-section (i) to (iii) above, and describe the characteristics of each habitat found, the data set should be comprehensive and representative, and is up to date and valid for the purpose of this assessment. Major information to be provided shall include:
 - (a) description of the physical environment, including recognized sites of conservation importance and ecologically sensitive areas;
 - (b) habitats maps of suitable scale (1:1000 to 1:5000) showing the types and locations of habitats and species of conservation interest in the assessment area;

- (c) ecological characteristics of each habitat type such as size, vegetation and/or substrate type, species present, dominant species found, species richness and abundance of major taxa groups, community structure, seasonal patterns, ecological value, inter-dependence of the habitats and species, and presence of any features of ecological importance;
 - (d) representative colour photographs of each habitat type and any important ecological features identified; and
 - (e) species found that are rare, endangered and/or listed under local legislation, international conventions for conservation of wildlife/habitats or Red Data Books.
- (v) investigate and describe the existing wildlife uses of various habitats with special attention to those wildlife groups and habitats with conservation interest, including but not limited to the following:
- (a) existing natural shoreline;
 - (b) intertidal, subtidal and benthic habitats;
 - (c) coral communities (including hard corals, black corals, soft corals, gorgonians and sea pens);
 - (d) horseshoe crabs;
 - (e) marine mammals (Chinese White Dolphins and Finless Porpoise);
 - (f) aquatic fauna (seahorse and pipefish);
 - (g) Bogadek's Burrowing Lizard and White-bellied Sea Eagles on Sunshine Island Site of Special Scientific Interest; and
 - (h) any other habitats and wildlife groups identified as having special conservation interest by this EIA study.
- (vi) describe recognized site of conservation importance, conservation areas and other ecological sensitive areas in the assessment area and assess whether these sites will be affected by the Project and associated works;
- (vii) using suitable methodologies (including those adopted in other relevant EIA studies in Hong Kong, etc.), and considering any works activities from other projects reasonably likely to occur at the same time, identify and quantify as far as

possible any direct (e.g., loss of habitats, physical disturbance, etc.), indirect (e.g., light, changes in water qualities, hydrodynamics properties, hydrology, noise and other disturbance generated by the construction activity, etc.), on-site, off-site, primary, secondary and cumulative ecological impacts on the wildlife groups and habitats identified such as destruction of habitats, disturbance to wildlife, reduction of species abundance/diversity, loss of roosting, foraging, feeding and breeding grounds, reduction of ecological carrying capacity and habitat fragmentation and any other possible disturbance caused by the Project, and in particular the following:

- (a) ecological impacts of permanent loss of habitats due to the reclamation of about 1,000 hectares arising from the Project;
 - (b) noise, glare, dust, traffic and other human disturbance and other deterioration of environmental quality to ecological sensitive areas and wildlife during implementation of the Project, including the impacts of potential increase in underwater noise and higher risk of vessel collision on the local cetaceans;
 - (c) indirect ecological impacts (such as shift in community pattern and species composition) due to potential hydrological disruption, change in hydrodynamic regime, sediment erosion or deposition pattern and deterioration of water quality on the natural coastline of Kau Yi Chau, Siu Kau Yi Chau, Peng Chau, Sunshine Island, Hei Ling Chau, and Lantau Island, during implementation of the Project;
 - (d) impact due to obstruction to wildlife corridor, habitat fragmentation and isolation; and
 - (e) cumulative impacts due to other planned and committed concurrent development projects at or near the Project area such as White-bellied Sea Eagles and their nesting sites.
- (viii) evaluate ecological impact based on the best and latest information available during the course of the EIA study, using quantitative approach as far as practicable and covering implementation of the Project;
- (ix) recommend possible and practicable mitigation measures to avoid, minimise and/or compensate for the adverse ecological impacts identified during implementation of the Project, apart from compensatory measures such as marine park designation, innovative ideas including Very Large Floating Structure, on-site or off-site stocking of shellfish species, either for mitigation or ecological enhancement, should also be explored;

- (x) evaluate the feasibility and effectiveness of the recommended mitigation measures and define the scope, type, location, implementation arrangement, resource requirement, subsequent management and maintenance of such measures;
- (xi) determine and quantify as far as possible the residual ecological impacts after implementation of the proposed mitigation measures;
- (xii) evaluate the significance and acceptability of the residual ecological impacts using well-defined criteria in Annex 8 of the TM; and
- (xiii) review the need for and recommend any ecological monitoring programme required.

Appendix H**Requirements for Fisheries Impact Assessment**

1. Existing information regarding the assessment area shall be reviewed. Based on the review results, the study shall identify data gap and conduct field surveys to collect adequate baseline information taking into account the relevant reclamation configuration(s) to be assessed. The surveys to be conducted for the study shall include fisheries resources surveys and fishing activities surveys covering both wet, dry and/or peak seasons within the study area.
2. The Applicant shall propose appropriate methodology (in particular sampling gear type and specification, number and location of sampling stations, duration and timing of surveys and data analyses to be performed, etc.).
3. The fisheries impact assessment shall cover any potential direct/indirect, on-site/off-site, short-term and long-term impacts on capture and culture fisheries during implementation of the Project.
4. The fisheries impact assessment includes the following:
 - (i) description of the physical environmental background;
 - (ii) description and quantification of the existing fisheries activities;
 - (iii) review the need for and recommend any fisheries monitoring and audit programme required;
 - (iv) identification of parameters (e.g. water quality parameters) and areas that are important to fisheries and will be affected;
 - (v) prediction and evaluation;
 - (vi) of any direct/indirect and on-site/off-site impacts on fisheries, such as potential loss or disturbance of fishing grounds, aquaculture sites, fisheries production and operations, fisheries resources and habitats, spawning and nursery grounds, artificial reefs, as well as impacts on hydrodynamics and water quality deterioration at sensitive receivers;
 - (vii) evaluation of cumulative impacts on fisheries;
 - (viii) where necessary, proposals of feasible, practicable and effective alternatives and/or mitigation measures including but not limited to off-site compensation through

enhancement of fisheries resources and habitats at suitable location(s); and

- (ix) review the requirement for monitoring during implementation of the Project and associated works and, if necessary, propose a monitoring and audit programme.

Appendix I**Requirements for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment**

1. The Applicant shall review relevant Outline Development Plans, Outline Zoning Plans, Development Permission Area Plans, Layout Plans, other published land use plans, planning briefs and/or studies and conduct surveys/studies to identify landscape areas of high landscape value such as country park, coastal protection area, green belt, conservation area designations, water course and woodland areas, and existing sensitive landscape characters and landscape resources. Any guidelines on landscape and urban design strategies and frameworks that may affect the appreciation of the Project shall also be reviewed. The aim is to gain an insight to the future outlook of the area affected so as to assess whether the Project can fit into the surrounding setting based on a comparison of the scenarios with and without the Project. Any conflict with the statutory town plan(s) and any published land use plan(s) shall be highlighted and appropriate follow-up action shall be recommended. A system shall be derived for judging the landscape and visual impact significance as required under the Annexes 10 and 18 of the TM and the EIAO Guidance Note No. 8/2010 "Preparation of Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment under the EIAO". Cumulative landscape and visual impacts of the Project with other existing, committed and planned developments in the assessment area shall be assessed.
2. The Applicant shall assess the landscape impact of the Project. The Applicant shall describe, appraise, analyse and evaluate the existing and planned landscape resources and characters of the assessment area. Annotated oblique aerial photographs and plans of suitable scale showing the baseline landscape resources and landscape character areas and mapping of impact assessment shall be extensively used to present the findings of impact assessment. Descriptive text shall provide a concise and reasoned judgment from a landscape point of view. The assessment shall be particularly focused on the sensitivity of the landscape framework and its ability to accommodate change. The Applicant shall identify the degree of compatibility of the Project with the existing and planned landscape setting, recreation and tourism related uses, and scenic spot. The landscape impact assessment shall quantify and qualify potential landscape impact as far as possible, so as to illustrate the significance of such impact arising from the Project. Clear mapping of the landscape impact is required. Broad brush tree and vegetation survey shall be carried out and to identify significant trees (including Old and Valuable Tree (OVT), stone wall tree, tree of large size, protected / rare plant species, tree of particular interest etc.) and the impacts on existing trees and vegetation shall be addressed.
3. The Applicant shall assess the visual impact of the Project. Clear illustrations including mapping of visual impact is required. Descriptive text shall provide a concise and reasoned judgment from a visual point of view. Cumulative visual impact of the Project with other existing, committed and planned developments in the assessment area shall be

assessed. The assessment shall include the following:

- (i) identification and plotting of visual envelope of the Project;
 - (ii) appraisal of existing visual resources and characters as well as future outlook of the visual system of the assessment area;
 - (iii) identification and justifications of the key groups of existing and planned sensitive receivers within the visual envelope with regard to views from ground level, sea level and elevated vantage points, and clearly indicate the sensitive receivers on a plan of appropriate scale;
 - (iv) description of the visual compatibility of the Project with the existing and planned visual context, and its obstruction and interference with the key views within the visual envelope;
 - (v) identification and description of the severity of visual impact in terms of nature, distance and number of sensitive receivers. The visual impact of the Project with and without mitigation measures shall be included and illustrated so as to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures across time; and
 - (vi) evaluations and explanations with supportive arguments of factors considered in arriving the significance thresholds of visual impact. The visual impacts should include presentation of an evaluation matrix derived for judging impact significance.
4. The Applicant shall evaluate the merits of preservation in totality, in parts or total destruction of existing landscape and the establishment of a new landscape character area. In addition, alternative location, site layout, development options, design and construction methods that would avoid or reduce the identified landscape and visual impacts shall be considered and evaluated for comparison before adopting other mitigation or compensatory measures to alleviate the impacts. The Applicant shall recommend mitigation measures which shall not only focus on damage reduction but also potential enhancement of existing landscape and visual quality of the area. The recommendations shall also be illustrated in landscape design and landscape/visual impact mitigation measure plan.
5. The mitigation measures shall include preservation of vegetation and natural landscape resources, retaining existing trees, transplanting trees in good condition and value, provision of screen and/or buffer planting, re-vegetation of disturbed and, compensatory planting, woodland restoration, design of structure, provision of finishes to structures, colour scheme and texture of material used and any measures to mitigate the impact on existing and planned land uses and visually sensitive receivers. Parties shall be identified for the ongoing management and maintenance of the proposed mitigation works to

ensure their effectiveness throughout the implementation of the Project. A practical programme for the implementation of the recommended measures shall be provided.

6. Annotated illustrations such as coloured perspective drawings, plans and section/elevation diagrams, oblique aerial photographs, photographs taken at vantage points, and computer-generated photomontage shall be adopted to fully illustrate the landscape and visual impacts of the Project to the satisfaction of the Director. The landscape and visual impacts of the Project with and without mitigation measures from representative viewpoints, particularly from views of the most severely affected visually sensitive receivers (i.e. worst-case scenario) to be agreed by the Director, shall be properly illustrated in existing and planned setting at four stages (existing condition, Day 1 with no mitigation measures, Day 1 with mitigation measures and Year 10 with mitigation measures) by computer-generated photomontage so as to demonstrate the comparison of scenarios with and without the Project and the effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures across time. Computer graphics shall be compatible with Microstation DGN file format. The Applicant shall record the technical details in preparing the illustrations, which may need to be submitted for verification of the accuracy of the illustrations.

Appendix J**Requirements for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment****1. Archaeological Impact Assessment (AIA)**

The Applicant shall engage qualified archaeologist(s) to conduct an Archaeological Impact Assessment (AIA), taking the results of previous studies and other background of the site, if available, into account to evaluate the impacts on the archaeological heritage imposed by the Project. The scope of the AIA baseline study should consist of desk-top research and field evaluation. In case the information available is inadequate or where the assessment area has not been adequately studied before, archaeological survey should be conducted as part of the AIA to identify sites of archaeological potentials and assess the impacts on the archaeological heritage. When adverse impacts on the archaeological heritage are considered inevitable, strong justifications should be provided. Besides, appropriate mitigation measures should be designed and agreed by AMO, and included in the recommendations of the EIA report for compliance. Licence to Excavate and Search for Antiquities under the Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance (Cap. 53) should be obtained from the Antiquities Authority prior to the commencement of archaeological survey. The Archaeological Action Plan, which is part of the licence application, should have the details of the proposed archaeological work and should be submitted to AMO for agreement before including in the licence application.

2. Marine Archaeological Investigation (MAI)

- (i) The Applicant shall engage a qualified marine archaeologist to conduct a marine archaeological review based on the best available information to identify whether there is any potential existence of sites or objects of cultural heritage within the seabed that will be affected by the marine works of the Project, whether the identified issues can be mitigated. The review can take into account the scope and nature of proposed marine works, the results of previous marine archaeological investigations, the dredging history and other diving records, etc. The assessment area shall include areas to be affected by the marine works of the Project.
- (ii) A MAI shall be carried out to ascertain the archaeological value of the affected seabed area. The Applicant shall propose a programme of investigation, including the scope of works, methodology and time schedule, etc. for agreement with the Director. The MAI shall be carried out by a qualified marine archaeologist who shall obtain a licence from the Antiquities Authority under the provision of the Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance (Cap. 53). If significant archaeological remains are identified, and if they would be affected, strong justifications should be provided, and mitigation measures shall be designed and agreed by the Antiquities

and Monuments Office (AMO) before implementation and implemented to the satisfaction of AMO.

3. The Applicant shall draw necessary reference to relevant sections of the “Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment (as at 4 May 2020) and Marine Archaeological Investigation (MAI)”, including those on archaeological survey, archaeological report, and handling of archaeological finds and archives, if found necessary in desk-top research results.

Appendix J-1**Guidelines for Marines Archaeological Investigation (MAI)****(As at 4 May 2020)**

The standard practice for MAI should consist of four separate tasks, i.e. (1) Baseline Review, (2) Geophysical Survey, (3) Establishing Archaeological Potential and (4) Remote Operated Vehicle (ROV)/Visual Diver Survey/Watching Brief. Marine archaeologists should make reference to the standard and guidance of Chartered Institute for Archaeologists and Historic England to carry out MAI.

1. Baseline Review

1.1 A baseline review should be conducted to collate the existing information in order to identify the potential for archaeological resources and, if identified, their likely character, extent, quality and value.

1.2 The baseline review will focus on known sources of archive data. It will include:

- (a) Geotechnical Engineering Office (GEO) – the office holds extensive seabed survey data collected from previous geological research.
- (b) Marine Department, Hydrographic Office - the office holds a substantial archive of hydrographic data and charts.
- (c) UK Hydrographic Department - the Department maintains an archive of all survey data collected by naval hydrographers.
- (d) Relevant government departments should be consulted in order to obtain the information of dredging history (if any) on the proposed project area. Area for sand dredging, mud disposal and allocated marine borrow area within Hong Kong should also be considered during the review.

1.3 The above data sources will provide historical records and more detailed geological analysis of submarine features which may have been subsequently masked by more recent sediment deposits and accumulated debris.

2. Geophysical Survey

2.1 Extensive geophysical survey of the study area should deploy high resolution boomer, side scan sonar, an echo sounder and high resolution multi beam sonar. The multi beam data must be presented as processed digital terrain models to facilitate the archaeological analysis. The data received from the survey would be analysed in detail to provide:

- (a) Exact definition of the areas of greatest archaeological potential.
- (b) Assessment of the depth and nature of the seabed sediments to define which areas consist of suitable material to bury and preserve archaeological material.
- (c) Detailed examination of the boomer and side scan sonar records to map

anomalies in and on the seabed which may be archaeological material.

- (d) Detailed examination of the multi beam sonar data to assess the archaeological potential of the sonar contacts.

3. **Establishing Archaeological Potential**

- 3.1 The data examined during Task 1 and 2 will be analysed to provide an indication of the likely character and extent of archaeological resources within the study area. This would facilitate formulation of a strategy for investigation.
- 3.2 The results would be presented as a written report and charts. If there is no indication of archaeological material there would be no need for further work.
- 3.3 Charts should be presented at the most appropriate scale and show each survey contact. Its dimensions and exact location should also be shown.

4. **Remote Operated Vehicle (ROV)/Visual Diver Survey/Watching Brief**

- 4.1 Subject to the outcome of Task 1, 2 and 3, accepted marine archaeological practice would be to plan a field evaluation programme to acquire more detailed data on areas identified as having archaeological potential. The areas of archaeological interest can be inspected by ROV or divers. ROV or a team of divers with both still and video cameras would be used to record seabed features of archaeological interest.
- 4.2 Owing to the heavy marine traffic in Hong Kong, the ROV/visual diver survey may not be feasible to achieve the target. If that is the case, an archaeological watching brief is the most appropriate way to monitor the dredging operations in areas of identified high potential to obtain physical archaeological information.
- 4.3 A sampling strategy for an archaeological watching brief would be prepared based on the results of Task 1, 2 and 3 to focus work on the areas of greatest archaeological potential. Careful monitoring of the dredging operations would enable immediate identification and salvage of archaeological material. If archaeological material is found, the AMO should be contacted immediately to seek guidance on its significance and appropriate mitigation measures would be prepared.
- 4.4 If Task 4 is undertaken, the results would be presented in a written report with charts.

5. **Report**

- 5.1 Five copies of the final report should be submitted to the AMO. The copyright of the report should be clearly identified. To facilitate future research, please specify that the report can be made available to the public in the Reference Library of the Heritage Discovery Centre.

Appendix L**Requirements for EIA Report Documents**

1. The Applicant shall supply the Director with the following number of copies of the EIA report and the executive summary:
 - (i) 30 copies of the EIA report and 30 copies of the bilingual (in both English and Chinese) executive summary as required under Section 6(2) of the EIAO to be supplied at the time of application for approval of the EIA report.
 - (ii) When necessary, addendum to the EIA report and the executive summary submitted in item (i) above as required under Section 7(1) of the EIAO, to be supplied upon advice by the Director for public inspection.
 - (iii) 30 copies of the EIA report and 50 copies of the bilingual (in both English and Chinese) executive summary with or without Addendum as required under Section 7(5) of the EIAO, to be supplied upon advice by the Director for consultation with the Advisory Council on the Environment.
2. To facilitate public inspection of EIA report via EIAO Internet Website, the Applicant shall provide electronic copies of both the EIA report and the executive summary prepared in HyperText Markup Language (HTML) and in Portable Document Format (PDF), unless otherwise agreed by the Director. For both of the HTML and PDF versions, a content page capable of providing hyperlink to each section and sub-section of the EIA report and the executive summary shall be included in the beginning of the document. Hyperlinks to figures, drawings and tables in the EIA report and the executive summary shall be provided in the main text from where respective references are made. The EIA report, including drawings, tables, figures and appendices shall be viewable by common web-browsers including Internet Explorer 8, Firefox 23, Chrome and Safari 8 or later versions as agreed by the Director, and support languages including Traditional Chinese, Simplified Chinese and English.
3. The electronic copies of the EIA report and the executive summary shall be submitted to the Director at the time of application for approval of the EIA report.
4. When the EIA report and the executive summary are made available for public inspection under Section 7(1) of the EIAO, the content of the electronic copies of the EIA report and the executive summary must be the same as the hard copies and the Director shall be provided with the most updated electronic copies.
5. To promote environmentally friendly and efficient dissemination of information, both hardcopies and electronic copies of future EM&A reports recommended by the EIA study shall be required and their format shall be agreed by the Director.