


**TERRITORY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
NEW TERRITORIES EAST DEVELOPMENT OFFICE**

**Agreement No. CE 16/99
Feasibility Study for Housing Development at
Whitehead and Lee On in Ma On Shan, Sha Tin**

**Final Report
Environmental Impact Assessment
(Volume 1 - Text)**

**October 2002
Ref: 2095/R9.4**

Report Authorized For
Issue By:






For and on Behalf of
Binnie Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited

Binnie Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited
in association with

**City Planning Consultants Limited
MVA Hong Kong Limited
Ronald Lu & Partners (HK) Limited
Urbis Limited
Larry H.C. Tam & Associates Limited**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1-1
2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2-1
3. NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT	3-1
4. AIR QUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT	4-1
5. WATER QUALITY	5-1
6. ECOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	6-1
7. CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT	7-1
8. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACT	8-1
9. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION AND IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	9-1

	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared	TONY CHENG		11 OCT 02
Checked	Lok YAN		11 Oct 02
Reviewed	CY Hung		Oct 2002

LIST OF ANNEXES

Annex A	Environmental Impact Assessment Study Brief
Annex B	Environmental Legislation and Planning Guidelines
Annex C	Noise Impact Assessment
C1	Details of Construction Noise Impact Assessment
C2	Details of Traffic Noise Impact Assessment
C3	Train Noise Impact Assessment
C4	Details of Fixed Noise Impact Assessment
Annex D	Air Quality Impact Assessment
D1	Traffic Emission Assessment
D2	Industrial Emission Assessment
Annex E	Sewage Impact Assessment
Annex F	Ecology
F1	Plant Species Recorded within the Assessment Area
F2	Plant Species Recorded at Wu Kai Sha <i>fung shui</i> Wood (Chu 1998)
F3	Bird Species and Abundance on Roadside Plantations
F4	Bird Species and Abundance on Headland Plantations
F5	Bird Species and Abundance on Secondary Woodlands
F6	Bird Species and Abundance in <i>fung shui</i> Woods
F7	Bird Species and Abundance of Agriculture
F8	Bird Species and Abundance on Sandy Shores
F9	Bird Species and Abundance in outer Starfish Bay
F10	Bird Species and Abundance Recorded in September 2001
F11	Butterfly Species Recorded in the Study Area.
F12	Dragonfly Species Recorded in the Study Area
Annex G	Cultural Heritage
G1	Results of the Auger Survey
G2	Test Pit Results
Annex H	Section at Interface between Trunk Road T7 and Property Development at Wu Kai Sha Station

TABLES

2.1	Preliminary Development Parameters from the Study Brief
2.2	Schedule of Development for the Base Scheme
2.3	Development Schedule of the Alternative Scheme
2.4	Development Schedule of the Further Alternative Scheme with 10 hectares Recreational Area
2.5	Development Schedule of the Further Alternative Scheme with 15 hectares Recreational Area

- 2.6 Development Schedule of the Further Alternative Scheme with 18.5 hectares Recreational Area
- 2.7 Development Schedule for the Preliminary Preferred Development Option with 11-ha Recreation Development (plot ratio 6.5)
- 2.8 G/IC Facilities and Open Space Requirements for the PDO with 11-ha Recreation Development
- 2.9 Estimated Land Area, Facilities Sizes and Annual Attendance of the Proposed Recreation Uses
- 2.10 Actual Patronage of the Proposed Recreation Uses
- 2.11 Development Schedule for the Preferred Development Option with 11-ha Recreation Development (plot ratio 5)
- 2.12 Works to be Implemented by government for Preferred Development Option
- 2.13 Development Programme
- 2.14 Designated Projects under this Study
- 2.15 Concurrent Projects

- 3.3.1 TMEIA Daytime Construction Noise Standards
- 3.3.2 Operational Noise Assessment Criteria
- 3.4.1 Details of the Representative NSRs during Construction Phase
- 3.4.2 Details of the Representative NSRs during Operational Phase
- 3.5.1 Worst Case Construction Scenarios
- 3.5.2 Predicted (Unmitigated) Construction Noise Level
- 3.5.3 Predicted (Mitigated) Construction Noise Level
- 3.5.4 Summary of Proposed Construction Noise Mitigation Measures
- 3.6.1 Characteristics of Roads
- 3.6.2 Assumptions on Potential Noise Shielding Structures of the Preliminary Preferred Development Option
- 3.6.3 Summary of Traffic Noise Assessment on the future NSRs in the Preliminary Preferred Development Option
- 3.6.4 Summary of Traffic Mitigation Incorporated into the Preliminary Preferred Development Option (School)
- 3.6.5 Evaluation of constraints associated with the Wu Kai Sha Station Development in accordance with Annex 13 of TM-EIAO
- 3.6.6 Summary of Proposed Noise Mitigation Measures / Assumptions Used in the Proposed Development in Relation to Traffic Noise
- 3.7.1 Acceptable Noise Levels (ANLs) in dB(A)
- 3.7.2 Information of the Selected NSRs for the Assessment
- 3.7.3 Parameters and Equations adopted in the MOS Rail Noise Calculation
- 3.7.4 Unmitigated Rail Noise Levels
- 3.7.5 Mitigated Noise Levels at NSR 10-1
- 3.7.6 Summary of the Mitigation Measures for MOS Train Noise
- 3.8.1 Categories of Fixed Noise Assessment
- 3.8.2 Monitoring Results of Pumping Station
- 3.8.3 Predicted Noise Levels generated from the Pumping Station at Ground Levels of NSR 10
- 3.8.4 Maximum Allowable Sound Pressure Level for Fixed Noise Sources Associated with MOS Rail Wu Kai Sha Station

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd)

3.8.5	Maximum Allowable Sound Pressure Level for Fixed Noise Sources Associated with the proposed Utility Services
4.1	Hong Kong Air Quality Objectives (AQOs)
4.2	Monthly Average Concentrations at Shatin EPA Station (2000)
4.3	Representative ASRs around the Project during Construction Phase
4.4	Movement of Cut and Fill Material within Project
4.5	Air Quality Guidelines of Carparks
5.1	Water Quality of EPD Monitoring Station (TM6) from 1995 to 1999
5.2	Water Quality of EPD Monitoring Station TS4 from 1995 to 1999
5.3	Summary of Potential Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures
5.4	Estimated Sewage Flows
5.5	<i>E.Coli</i> and Faecal Coliform Levels at EPS Monitoring Station TM6
5.6	Summary of Potential Operational Impacts and Mitigation Measures
6.1	Bird Abundance and Species Richness in 2000 and 2001
6.2	Bird Abundance and Species Richness in Each Type of Habitat
6.3	Species Richness of Butterfly in Each Type of Habitat
6.4	Intertidal Fauna on the Sandflat in Starfish Bay
6.5	Seabed Attributes along the Survey Transects
6.6	Description of the Seabed Recorded along each Transect
6.7	Plant Species of Conservation Importance
6.8	Bird Species of Conservation Importance
6.9	Marine Species of Conservation Importance
6.10	Evaluation of Ecological Importance of the Study Area
6.11	Estimated Habitat Loss Caused by Proposed Housing Development
6.12	Construction-stage Impacts
6.13	Operation-stage Impacts
7.5.1	Summary of the Archaeological Findings in Each Unit of Evaluation
7.8.1	Summary of Field Evaluation Conducted in Each Unit and its Results (AH = Auger Holes, TP = Test Pit)
7.8.2	Summary of Proposed Impacts in Areas of Archaeological Potential
7.8.3	Summary of Proposed Mitigation Recommendations in Areas of Archaeological Potential
7.9.1	The Recorded Resources within the Study Area, the Assessment, Existing Land Use in their Immediate Vicinity and the Type and Distance of the Proposed Development
7.9.2	The Recorded Resources Outside of the Study Area, the Assessment, Existing Land Use in their Immediate Vicinity and the Type and Distance of the Proposed Development
7.9.3	Mitigation Recommendations for the Recorded Resources inside the Study Area
7.9.4	Mitigation Recommendations for the Recorded Resources outside the Study Area

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd)

8.3.1	Relationship between Receptor Sensitivity and Impact Magnitude in Defining Impact Significance
8.5.1	Landscape Resources and Character Areas
8.5.2	Characteristics of Key Views
8.5.3	Identity and Characteristics of the Key Visual Receivers
8.6.1	Significance of Landscape Impacts during the Construction Phase
8.6.2	Significance of Visual Impacts during the Construction Phase
8.6.3	Proposed Construction Phase Mitigation Measures
8.7.1	Significance of Landscape Impacts during the Operational Phase
8.7.2	Significance of Visual Impacts during the Operational Phase
8.7.3	Proposed Permanent Mitigation Measures
8.9.1	Quantification Key Landscape Resources Affected by Works
9.1	Quantification Key Landscape Resources Affected by Works
9.2	Description of Column Headings for the Implementation Schedule
9.3	Implementation Schedule for Recommended Mitigation Measures

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd)

FIGURES

- Figure 1.1 Location of Study Area
- Figure 2.1 Master Layout Plan (Base Scheme)
Figure 2.2 Master Layout Plan (Alternative Scheme)
Figure 2.3 Master Layout Plan (Preliminary Preferred Development Option)
Figure 2.4 Master Layout Plan (Preferred Development Option)
Figure 2.5 Conceptual Layout of Highway Structures
Figure 2.6 Extend of Designated Projects within Study Area
- Figure 3.4.1 Location of Construction Noise Sensitive Receivers
Figure 3.4.2 Location of Operational Noise Sensitive Receivers
Figure 3.6.1 Locations of new roads
Figure 3.6.2 Locations of Committed Noise Barrier by Other Projects
Figure 3.7.1a Mitigation Measures Proposed on MOS Rail Viaduct next to Lee On Estate by
MOS EIA
Figure 3.7.1b Deck General Arrangement
Figure 3.7.1c Cross Section
Figure 3.7.1d Key Plan - Concourse Level
Figure 3.7.1e Shek Mun to Lee On Station - Longitudinal Section A-A
Figure 3.7.1f Cross Section B-B, C-C, D-D, E-E, F-F, G-G & H-H
Figure 3.7.2a Proposal Railway Noise Measure (Semi Enclosure)
Figure 3.7.2b Proposal Railway Noise Measure (Central Plenum)
Figure 3.7.3 Multi-Plenum System on the Rail Viaduct
Figure 3.8.1 Locations of Potential Fixed-Noise Sources
- Figure 4.1 Wind Rose of Shatin Area
Figure 4.2 Location of Proposed Wheel Wash
Figure 4.3 Maximum NO₂ concentration from Traffic Emission at Pedestrian Level (Hourly
Average)
Figure 4.4 Maximum NO₂ concentration from Traffic Emission at Pedestrian Level (Daily
Average)
Figure 4.5 Maximum RSP concentration from Traffic Emission at Pedestrian Level (Daily
Average)
Figure 4.6 Location of Chimneys
Figure 4.7a Maximum NO₂ concentration from Industrial Emission (Hourly Average)
Figure 4.7b Maximum NO₂ concentration from Industrial Emission at 10 Floor (Hourly
Average)
Figure 4.7c Maximum NO₂ concentration from Industrial Emission at 20 Floor (Hourly
Average)
Figure 4.7d Maximum NO₂ concentration from Industrial Emission at 30 Floor (Hourly
Average)

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd)

Figure 4.7e	Maximum NO ₂ concentration from Industrial Emission at 40 Floor (Hourly Average)
Figure 4.8a	Maximum NO ₂ concentration from Industrial Emission (Daily Average)
Figure 4.8b	Maximum NO ₂ concentration from Industrial Emission at 10 Floor (Daily Average)
Figure 4.8c	Maximum NO ₂ concentration from Industrial Emission at 20 Floor (Daily Average)
Figure 4.8d	Maximum NO ₂ concentration from Industrial Emission at 30 Floor (Daily Average)
Figure 4.8e	Maximum NO ₂ concentration from Industrial Emission at 40 Floor (Daily Average)
Figure 4.9a	Maximum SO ₂ concentration from Industrial Emission (Hourly Average)
Figure 4.9b	Maximum SO ₂ concentration from Industrial Emission at 10 Floor (Hourly Average)
Figure 4.9c	Maximum SO ₂ concentration from Industrial Emission at 20 Floor (Hourly Average)
Figure 4.9d	Maximum SO ₂ concentration from Industrial Emission at 30 Floor (Hourly Average)
Figure 4.9e	Maximum SO ₂ concentration from Industrial Emission at 40 Floor (Hourly Average)
Figure 4.10	Cumulative NO ₂ concentration from Traffic Emission and Industrial Emission at Pedestrian Level (Hourly Average)
Figure 4.11	Cumulative NO ₂ concentration from Traffic Emission and Industrial Emission at Pedestrian Level (Daily Average)
Figure 5.1	Existing Streamcourses and Sensitive Receivers
Figure 5.2	Location of EPD Monitoring Station
Figure 5.3a	Conceptual Drainage Plan
Figure 5.3b	Conceptual Sewerage Plan
Figure 6.1	Habitat map and sampling locations of the Study Area
Figure 6.2	Photomontages showing various habitats at Whitehead and Lee On, Ma On Shan
Figure 6.3	Locations of species with conservation value within the Study Area
Figure 6.4	Photo of black coral <i>Antipathes</i> sp. in the control site during the dive survey
Figure 6.5	Extension of existing secondary woodland as a mitigation measure to loss of woodland
Figure 7.1	Map Showing the Location of the Study Area in the Territory
Figure 7.2	Map of the Study Area
Figure 7.3a	View of the Former Whitehead Detention Centre Site from the Northeast
Figure 7.3b	View of the Wu Kai Sha Headland (east) with Tai Po Hoi in the Foreground taken from the South

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd)

- Figure 7.4a View of the Study Area from the South East Looking across the Central Area of Fill towards Lok Wo Sha and Ma On Shan Town
- Figure 7.4b View towards the South West showing the Nursey (Unit 3) in Foreground; Central Fill Area in Use for Vehicle Storage, Lok Wo Sha and the Fung Shui Wood in the Background
- Figure 7.5a View of Unit 7 from the North East showing the Former Lagoon Area under Cultivation and the Sandbar (Unit 6) beyond
- Figure 7.5b View of To Tau Tsuen from the Southeast
- Figure 7.6 Aerial Photograph of the Study Area taken in 1978
- Figure 7.7 Aerial Photograph of the Study Area taken in 1995
- Figure 7.8 Aerial Photograph of the Study Area taken in 1999
- Figure 7.9 Map Showing the Location of the Wu Kai Sha Archaeological Site
- Figure 7.10a Photograph showing Surface Material of Unit 3
- Figure 7.10b Photograph showing Unit 4
- Figure 7.11a Photograph showing Unit 6
- Figure 7.11b Photograph showing Surface Material of Unit 7
- Figure 7.12 Map of Unit 1 Showing Location of Auger Holes
- Figure 7.13 Map of Unit 3 Showing Location of Auger Holes
- Figure 7.14 Map of Unit 4 Showing Location of Auger Holes
- Figure 7.15 Map of Unit 5 Showing Location of Auger Holes
- Figure 7.16 Map of Unit 6 Showing Location of Auger Holes and Test Pits
- Figure 7.17 Map of Unit 7 Showing Location of Auger Holes and Test Pit
- Figure 7.18a Photograph showing Finds from Test Pit 1: (L-R) Context 03, Context 04, Context 05, Context 05
- Figure 7.18b Photograph showing 2 Bronze Buttons (SF#1) from Test Pit 1: Context 05
- Figure 7.18c Photograph showing finds from Test Pit 2: (L-R) Context 02, Context 03, Context 04
- Figure 7.19a Photograph showing a Rectangular unmodified adze (SF#2) contained in the sand bar (Test Pit 3, Context 04)
- Figure 7.19b Photograph showing finds of Test Pit 3 (L-R): Context 02, Context 04 (SF#2)
- Figure 7.20 Illustrations of Special Find #2, Adze, from Test Pit 3, Unit 6
- Figure 7.21a Photograph showing finds of Test Pit 4: Context 5
- Figure 7.21b Photograph showing finds of Test Pit 5 (L-R): Context 02, Context 05
- Figure 7.22 Illustration of Feature Sherds recovered from Test Pit 4, Unit 6
- Figure 7.23a Photograph showing finds of Test Pit 5: Context 03
- Figure 7.23b Photograph showing finds of Test Pit 5: Context 04
- Figure 7.24 Map showing the Locations of the Villages in and Bordering the Study Area
- Figure 7.25 Map Showing the Locations of the Cultural Heritage Features in the Villages of Wu Kai Sha San Tsuen, Wu Kai Sha Cheung Tsuen and Kwai Po Lau
- Figure 7.26 Map Showing the Locations of the Cultural Heritage Features in the Village of Lok Wo Sha

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd)

Figure 7.27	Map Showing the Location of the Cultural Heritage Feature in the Village of Cheung Kang
Figure 7.28	Map Showing the Location of the Cultural Heritage Feature in the Village of To Tau Tsuen
Figure 7.29	Map Showing the Location of 1950s features in To Tau Tsuen
Figure 7.30	Photographs Illustrating the Traditional Lifestyle of the Tanka People at To Tau Tsuen
Figure 7.31	Photographs showing 1950s Structure (1-3) in To Tau Tsuen
Figure 7.32	Photographs showing 1950s Structure (4-6) in To Tau Tsuen
Figure 7.33	Photographs showing 1950s Structure (7-9) in To Tau Tsuen
Figure 7.34	Photographs showing 1950s Structure (10-12) in To Tau Tsuen
Figure 7.35	Photographs showing 1950s Structure (13-15) in To Tau Tsuen
Figure 7.36	Photographs showing 1950s Structure (16-18) in To Tau Tsuen
Figure 7.37	Photographs showing 1950s Structure (19-20) in To Tau Tsuen
Figure 7.38	Map showing the Site of the Former Whitehead Detention Centre
Figure 7.39	Map showing the Location of the Grave Group on the Fung Shui Hill
Figure 7.40	Map showing the Locations of Burial Associated Sites, Traditionally Cultivated Areas and Fung Shui Features
Figure 7.41	Photographs of Permanent Graves B and D and the Cemetery Site on the Fung Shui Hill
Figure 7.42	Photograph of an Urn Repository and a Coffin Slot
Figure 7.43	Photograph of Permanent Graves A and C
Figure 7.44	Inscription of Grave A and C
Figure 7.45	Proposed Boundary of Pre-historical Archaeological Site
Figure 7.46	Recommended Area requiring Archaeological Field Evaluation
Figure 8.1	Landscape Planning Designations
Figure 8.2	Location of Landscape Resources
Figure 8.3	Landscape Resources
Figure 8.4	Landscape Character Areas
Figure 8.5	Views of Landscape Character Areas
Figure 8.6	Views of Landscape Character Areas
Figure 8.7	Potential Visual Envelope
Figure 8.8	Location of Key Views
Figure 8.9	Key Views (No.1 and 2)
Figure 8.10	Key Views (No.3 and 4)
Figure 8.11	Key Views (No.5 and 6)
Figure 8.12	Visually Receivers
Figure 8.13a	Landscape Impacts – During Construction
Figure 8.13b	Landscape Impacts – During Operation (Day 1)
Figure 8.13c	Landscape Impacts – Residual Impact (Year 10)
Figure 8.13d	Significance of Impacts on Landscape Character – Construction
Figure 8.13e	Significance of Impacts on Landscape Character – Day 1 of Operation
Figure 8.13f	Significance of Impacts on Landscape Character – Day 10 of Operation

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd)

Figure 8.14a Visual Impacts – During Construction
Figure 8.14b Visual Impacts – During Operation (Day 1)
Figure 8.14c Visual Impacts – Residual Impact (Year 10)
Figure 8.15a Photomontage from View Point 1 – Existing
Figure 8.15b Photomontage from View Point 1 – During Construction
Figure 8.15c Photomontage from View Point 1 – During Operation (Day 1)
Figure 8.15d Photomontage from View Point 1 – Residual Impact (Year 10)
Figure 8.16a Photomontage from View Point 2 – Existing
Figure 8.16b Photomontage from View Point 2 – During Construction
Figure 8.16c Photomontage from View Point 2 – During Operation (Day 1)
Figure 8.16d Photomontage from View Point 2 – Residual Impact (Year 10)
Figure 8.17a Photomontage from View Point 3 – Existing
Figure 8.17b Photomontage from View Point 3 – During Construction
Figure 8.17c Photomontage from View Point 3 – During Operation (Day 1)
Figure 8.17d Photomontage from View Point 3 – Residual Impact (Year 10)
Figure 8.18a Photomontage from View Point 4 – Existing
Figure 8.18b Photomontage from View Point 4 – During Construction
Figure 8.18c Photomontage from View Point 4 – During Operation (Day 1)
Figure 8.18d Photomontage from View Point 4 – Residual Impact (Year 10)
Figure 8.19a Photomontage from View Point 5 – Existing
Figure 8.19b Photomontage from View Point 5 – During Construction
Figure 8.19c Photomontage from View Point 5 – During Operation (Day 1)
Figure 8.19d Photomontage from View Point 5 – Residual Impact (Year 10)
Figure 8.20a Photomontage from View Point 6 – Existing
Figure 8.20b Photomontage from View Point 6 – During Construction, Operation (Day 1),
Residual Impact (Year 10)
Figure 8.20b1 Photomontage from View Point 6 – During Construction, Operation (Day 1),
Residual Impact (Year 10) (Plot Ratio = 5.0)
Figure 8.21 Landscape Mitigation Measure Plan during Construction Phase
Figure 8.22 Landscape Mitigation Measure Plan during Operational Phase