

政制發展專責小組第五號報告：

**The Fifth Report of the
Constitutional Development Task Force :**

二零零七年行政長官及
二零零八年立法會產生辦法
建議方案

**Package of Proposals for
the Methods for Selecting the Chief Executive in 2007
and for Forming the Legislative Council in 2008**

附錄四
2007 年行政長官及 2008 年立法會產生辦法
民意調查

**Appendix IV
The Methods for Selecting the Chief Executive in 2007 &
for Forming the Legislative Council in 2008
Public Opinion Poll**

二零零五年十月
October 2005

目錄

| | 頁數 |
|-----------------|----|
| 前言 | 1 |
| 研究方法 | 2 |
| 調查結果 | 4 |
| <u>附錄</u> | |
| 附錄一：民意調查問卷 | 17 |
| 附錄二：受訪人士的社會背景資料 | 22 |
| 附錄三：詳細樣本資料 | 26 |

Contents

| | Page No. |
|--------------|----------|
| Introduction | 27 |
| Methodology | 28 |
| Results | 30 |

Appendices

| | |
|---|----|
| Appendix 1: Questionnaire (Translation) | 43 |
| Appendix 2: Personal Characteristics of Respondents | 49 |
| Appendix 3: Enumeration Results | 53 |

前言

- 1.1 政制發展專責小組就有關二零零七年行政長官及二零零八年立法會產生辦法，進行了一項電話民意調查，目的是要掌握民意對專責小組將提出的建議方案內各主要元素的支持和接受程度。
- 1.2 專責小組自 2004 年 1 月成立以來，一直就政制發展這議題向公眾和社會各界收集意見。專責小組對這些意見作出整體和全面的考慮後，擬備了建議方案。專責小組亦決定進行民意調查，以掌握民意對方案內各主要元素的支持和接受程度。
- 1.3 專責小組透過中央政策組，委託了香港理工大學理大科技及顧問有限公司¹進行是項民意調查。民意調查由香港理工大學應用社會科學系社會政策研究中心(研究中心)執行，負責有關樣本抽樣、安排訪問程序、數據質控及數據分析工作。
- 1.4 民意調查於 2005 年 9 月 27 日至 30 日進行。

¹ 理大科技及顧問有限公司由香港理工大學成立，負責統籌該大學各學系研究單位（包括應用社會科學系社會政策研究中心）受外界委託所進行的顧問及研究項目。

研究方法

問卷設計

2.1 根據上述目的，問卷包括以下幾方面的問題：

(i) 2007 年行政長官產生辦法

- 選舉委員會委員人數
- 選舉委員會的組成
- 提名行政長官候選人所需委員數目
- 行政長官能否屬於任何政黨

(ii) 2008 年立法會產生辦法

- 立法會議席數目
- 立法會功能界別新增議席的組成及選民範圍
- 立法會議員國籍的規定

(iii) 在 2007/08 不實行普選的前提下，兩個產生辦法應否有些發展

(iv) 會否接受政府的建議方案

2.2 民意調查的問卷見附錄 1。

抽樣方法、樣本和回應比率

- 2.3 民意調查以電話訪問形式進行，訪問對象為 18 歲或以上的人士。
- 2.4 在抽取調查樣本方面，研究中心首先用其住宅電話資料庫為抽樣框，以隨機抽樣方法抽選 3 308 個住戶電話號碼，然後用基什網格(Kish Grid)方法從每個住戶抽選一位 18 歲或以上的人士，由訪問員直接進行電話訪問。
- 2.5 民意調查透過電話訪問，成功訪問了 1 225 名 18 歲或以上的人士。從收集數據估計所得的百分比，標準誤差值不多於 1.4%，而 95% 置信度誤差值限則不多於 $\pm 2.8\%$ 。成功受訪人士的社會背景資料見附錄 2。
- 2.6 在調查樣本的 3 308 個住戶電話號碼中，電話成功訪問個案共 1 225 個，另有 864 個被確定為非目標個案(包括非住宅、傳真、加密號碼及沒有訪問對象的住戶等)、及 1 219 個不成功個案 (包括拒絕接受訪問、無人接聽、受訪者不在家等)，整體回應比率為 50.1%，詳細資料見附錄 3。
- 2.7 在進行分析前，所有從電話訪問中收集到的數據都先加權處理，藉以矯正因成功訪問樣本的性別和年齡分佈偏離全港真實情況所引致的偏差。權數的計算是以 2001 年人口普查所得的全港陸上人口按性別和年齡的分佈情況為參照。

調查結果

3.1 民意調查的結果摘要見下文。

2007 年行政長官產生辦法

3.2 現時行政長官是由一個 800 人的「選舉委員會」選舉產生。六成 (59.9%) 公眾人士表示同意在 2007 年下一屆行政長官選舉時，增加「選舉委員會」委員數目，較表示不同意 (13.5%) 的為多 (見表一)。

表一 現時行政長官係由一個 800 人嘅「選舉委員會」選舉產生。你同意唔同意喺 2007 年下一屆行政長官選舉時，應該增加「選舉委員會」嘅委員數目呢？

| | 百分比 |
|-----------|-------|
| 同意 | 59.9 |
| 既非同意亦非唔同意 | 5.0 |
| 唔同意 | 13.5 |
| 無意見 | 10.1 |
| 唔知道 | 11.2 |
| 拒絕回答 | 0.2 |
| 總計 | 100.0 |

3.3 在同意增加「選舉委員會」委員數目的人士中，有六成一（61.1%）表示同意增加「選舉委員會」委員人數至 1 600 人；較表示不同意(30.1%)的為多(見附表二)。

表二（如果同意增加「選舉委員會」委員數目）社會上有意見認為應該將「選舉委員會」委員人數增至 1600 人。你同唔同意呢個建議呢？（如果唔同意增至 1600 人，追問：「咁你認為應該增加到幾多人呢？」）

| | 百分比 |
|-----------------|-------|
| 同意 | 61.1 |
| 既非同意亦非唔同意 | 3.5 |
| 唔同意 | 30.1 |
| 900 人或以下 | 0.9 |
| 1 000 人 | 5.2 |
| 1 100 人 | 0.1 |
| 1 200 人 | 3.3 |
| 1 300 – 1 900 人 | 0.6 |
| 2 000 – 5 000 人 | 3.3 |
| 多於 5 000 人 | 2.7 |
| 要求全民直選 | 9.5 |
| 唔知道 | 4.6 |
| 無意見 | 3.3 |
| 唔知道 | 1.6 |
| 拒絕回答 | 0.4 |
| 總計 | 100.0 |

註：百分比以同意增加「選舉委員會」委員數目的人士為基數。

- 3.4 如果要增加「選舉委員會」人數，五成八（58.3%）公眾人士表示同意加入多些區議員，較表示不同意（24.5%）的為多（見表三）。

表三 如果要增加「選舉委員會」人數，你同唔同意加入多啲區議員呢？

| | 百分比 |
|-----------|-------|
| 同意 | 58.3 |
| 既非同意亦非唔同意 | 4.0 |
| 唔同意 | 24.5 |
| 無意見 | 7.3 |
| 唔知道 | 5.6 |
| 拒絕回答 | 0.2 |
| 總計 | 100.0 |

- 3.5 現時區議會有四百二十多名經選舉產生及約一百名委任的區議員。在同意「選舉委員會」加入多些區議員的人士中，六成二（61.5%）表示同意加入全部區議員，較表示不同意（29.1%）的為多（見表四）。

表四 （如果同意加入多啲區議員）依家區議會入面有四百廿幾位經選舉產生同埋大約一百位委任嘅區議員。你同唔同意喺「選舉委員會」加入所有區議員呢？

| | 百分比 |
|-----------|-------|
| 同意 | 61.5 |
| 既非同意亦非唔同意 | 3.4 |
| 唔同意 | 29.1 |
| 無意見 | 1.9 |
| 唔知道 | 4.1 |
| 總計 | 100.0 |

註：百分比以同意加入多些區議員的人士為基數。

3.6 按現時規定，每名行政長官候選人最少需要取得 100 名「選舉委員會」委員提名，即「選舉委員會」總人數的八分之一。有五成七 (57.3%) 公眾人士表示同意 2007 年下一屆行政長官選舉的提名委員數目應該按「選舉委員會」的人數維持八分之一，較表示不同意 (23.8%) 的為多(見表五)。

表五 按現時規定，每名行政長官候選人最少要 100 名「選舉委員會」委員提名，即係「選舉委員會」總人數嘅八分之一。喺 2007 年下一屆行政長官選舉，你同唔同意提名委員嘅數目，應該按選舉委員會嘅大小，維持番嘅八分之一呢？

| | 百分比 |
|-----------|-------|
| 同意 | 57.3 |
| 既非同意亦非唔同意 | 2.3 |
| 唔同意 | 23.8 |
| 無意見 | 7.2 |
| 唔知道 | 9.2 |
| 拒絕回答 | 0.2 |
| 總計 | 100.0 |

- 3.7 按現時規定，行政長官不可以屬於任何政黨。七成三（73.3%）公眾人士表示同意應該維持這個規定，遠較表示不同意（15.5%）的為多（見表六）。

表六 按現時規定，行政長官不得屬於任何政黨。你同唔同意應該維持呢個規定呢？

| | 百分比 |
|-----------|-------|
| 同意 | 73.3 |
| 既非同意亦非唔同意 | 2.7 |
| 唔同意 | 15.5 |
| 無意見 | 2.3 |
| 唔知道 | 6.1 |
| 拒絕回答 | 0.1 |
| 總計 | 100.0 |

2008 年立法會產生辦法

- 3.8 今屆立法會有 60 位議員。四成半（45.3%）公眾人士表示同意增加 2008 年新一屆立法會的議席數目，較表示不同意（36.5%）的為多（見表七）。

表七 今屆立法會有 60 位議員。你同唔同意 2008 年嘅新一屆立法會，應該增加議席數目呢？

| | 百分比 |
|-----------|-------|
| 同意 | 45.3 |
| 既非同意亦非唔同意 | 4.8 |
| 唔同意 | 36.5 |
| 無意見 | 6.4 |
| 唔知道 | 7.0 |
| 拒絕回答 | 0.1 |
| 總計 | 100.0 |

3.9 在同意增加立法會議席的人士中，有七成九（78.8%）亦表示同意增加立法會議席數目至 70 席，較表示不同意（13.4%）的為多（附表八）。

表八 （如果同意增加立法會議席）社會上有意見認為立法會議席數目應該增加至 70 席。你同唔同意呢個建議呢？（如果唔同意增至 70 席）咁你認為應該增加到幾多席呢？

| | 百分比 |
|-----------|-------|
| 同意 | 78.8 |
| 既非同意亦非唔同意 | 2.7 |
| 唔同意 | 13.4 |
| 少於 70 席 | 2.4 |
| 80 席 | 3.4 |
| 85 席 | 0.4 |
| 90 席 | 1.2 |
| 100 席 | 3.1 |
| 120 席或以上 | 1.7 |
| 唔知道 | 1.3 |
| 無意見 | 3.9 |
| 唔知道 | 1.1 |
| 拒絕回答 | 0.1 |
| 總計 | 100.0 |

註：百分比以同意增加立法會議席的人士為基數。

- 3.10 現時立法會有一個「功能界別」的議席是由區議員互選產生。如果要增加立法會「功能界別」的議席，四成九 (48.5%) 公眾人士表示同意增加區議員議席的數目，較表示不同意 (33.4%) 的為多(見表九)。

表九 現時立法會有一個「功能界別」嘅議席係由區議員互選產生。如果要增加立法會「功能界別」嘅議席，你同唔同意增加區議員議席嘅數目呢？

| | 百分比 |
|-----------|-------|
| 同意 | 48.5 |
| 既非同意亦非唔同意 | 2.9 |
| 唔同意 | 33.4 |
| 無意見 | 6.1 |
| 唔知道 | 9.0 |
| 拒絕回答 | 0.2 |
| 總計 | 100.0 |

- 3.11 現時區議會有四百二十多名經選舉產生及約一百名委任的區議員。表示同意立法會增加區議員議席數目的人士中，有六成 (59.8%) 亦表示同意全部新增加的「功能界別」議席由全體區議員互選產生，較表示不同意 (29.9%) 的為多(見表十)。

表十 (如果同意增加區議員議席嘅數目) 依家區議會入面有四百廿幾位經選舉產生同埋大約一百位委任嘅區議員。你同唔同意所有新增加嘅「功能界別」議席由全體區議員互選產生呢？

| | 百分比 |
|-----------|-------|
| 同意 | 59.8 |
| 既非同意亦非唔同意 | 2.1 |
| 唔同意 | 29.9 |
| 無意見 | 3.2 |
| 唔知道 | 4.7 |
| 拒絕回答 | 0.3 |
| 總計 | 100.0 |

註：百分比以同意增加區議員議席的數目的人士為基數。

- 3.12 根據《基本法》規定，立法會現時可以有不超過百分之二十（即 12 席）的議員為非中國籍或在外國有居留權的香港永久性居民。六成半（64.5%）公眾人士表示同意應該維持現有 12 席的規定，遠較表示不同意（19.7%）的為多（見表十一）。

表十一 根據《基本法》規定，立法會現時可以有不超過百分之二十（依家嚟講係 12 席）嘅議員係非中國籍或者係外國有居留權嘅香港永久性居民。你同唔同意應該維持現有 12 席嘅規定呢？

| | 百分比 |
|-----------|-------|
| 同意 | 64.5 |
| 既非同意亦非唔同意 | 4.5 |
| 唔同意 | 19.7 |
| 無意見 | 4.7 |
| 唔知道 | 6.2 |
| 拒絕回答 | 0.4 |
| 總計 | 100.0 |

在 2007/08 不實行普選的前提下，兩個產生辦法應否有些發展

3.13 在香港於 2007 及 2008 年不會實行兩個普選的前提下，七成半 (74.7%) 公眾人士同意行政長官及立法會的產生辦法都應該有些發展，較完全沒有改變好，遠較表示不同意(10.6%)的為多(見表十二)。

表十二 香港係 07、08 年唔會實行兩個普選，你同唔同意行政長官及立法會嘅產生辦法都應該有啲發展，好過乜都唔變呢？

| | 百分比 |
|-----------|-------|
| 同意 | 74.7 |
| 既非同意亦非唔同意 | 2.9 |
| 唔同意 | 10.6 |
| 無意見 | 5.1 |
| 唔知道 | 6.0 |
| 拒絕回答 | 0.6 |
| 總計 | 100.0 |

會否接受政府的建議方案

3.14 如果政府的建議方案是：

- (一) 選舉委員會人數由 800 增加至 1 600 人，並加入全部經選舉產生及委任的區議員；及
- (二) 立法會議席數目由 60 增加至 70 席，當中新增加的 5 席由直選產生，另外新增加的 5 個功能界別議席，由全體區議員，即是所有經選舉產生及委任的區議員，互選產生；

有五成半 (55.1%) 公眾人士表示會接受這套方案，較表示不接受(22.4%)的為多(見表十三)。

表十三 如果政府建議嘅政改方案係：(一) 選舉委員會人數由 800 增加至 1600 人，並加入全部經選舉產生同埋委任嘅區議員；(二) 立法會議席數目由 60 增加至 70 席，當中新增加嘅 5 席由直選產生，另外新增加嘅 5 個功能界別議席，就由全體區議員，即係所有經選舉產生同埋委任嘅區議員，互選產生。你會唔會接受呢個方案呢？

| | 百分比 |
|-----------|-------|
| 接受 | 55.1 |
| 既非接受亦非唔接受 | 5.2 |
| 唔接受 | 22.4 |
| 無意見 | 6.8 |
| 唔知道 | 9.9 |
| 拒絕回答 | 0.7 |
| 總計 | 100.0 |

2007 年行政長官及 2008 年立法會產生辦法

民意調查

附錄

附錄一：民意調查問卷

香港理工大學 應用社會科學系 社會政策研究中心

2005 年 9 月 27 – 30 日

程序 A: 自我介紹

「喂，你好，請問哩度嘅電話係唔係 xxxx xxxx 呢？（如果唔係，訪問員請講『對唔住，打錯，拜拜！』，然後請再重試。）我地係香港理工大學社會政策研究中心打嚟嘅，我地而家進行緊一項有關「香港政制發展」嘅民意調查，呢個調查嘅訪問對象係 18 歲或以上嘅人士，請問府上有幾多位呢類家庭成員呢？」

| | | |
|-------|--|--------------|
| 住戶反應: | 1. <input type="checkbox"/> 答應合作----- | > [開始程序 B] |
| (記錄) | 2. <input type="checkbox"/> 接通即拒絕/拒絕合作/中途拒絕----- | > [結束] |
| | 3. <input type="checkbox"/> 電話有問題(長鳴、怪聲不能分辨)----- | > [結束] |
| | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> 非目標樣本(沒有受訪對象、FAX、非住宅)----- | > [結束] |
| | 5. <input type="checkbox"/> 無人接聽/電話留言機/暫時唔得閒/線路正在使用----- | > [須重試] |

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6) (重試記錄:

程序 B: 選出合適受訪者

(Official Use)

[v1] Telephone#

[v2] Interviewer#

【如果多過一個以上，訪問員請用 Random Generator 根據 Kish Grid 方法抽出一位合資格嘅最終受訪者。

[v3] 根據抽樣結果，按年齡排列由大到小，唔該請年齡排第___位大嘅家庭成員嚟聽電話。

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 我係 | -> [開始問卷 – 程序 C] | -> [如有需要，請讀出簡介文字] |
| 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 唔方便講 | -> [必須確認] -> [核實或預約時間: _____] | -> [結束訪問] -> [記錄] |
| 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 佢唔係度 | -> [預約時間: _____] | -> [結束訪問] -> [記錄] |

~~~~~

[v4] [由訪問員自行填寫]

受訪者性別: 1. ☐男 2. ☐女 (以下稱受訪者為“先生”或“小姐”)。

如果最終受訪者不是接聽電話人士，請向最終受訪者讀出：「喂，先生／小姐你好，我地係香港理工大學社會政策研究中心打嚟嘅，我依家想花你三幾分鐘時間，同你做一項有關「香港政制發展」嘅民意調查。閣下所提供嘅意見，我地係會絕對保密嘅，請您放心。」

**讀出：「首先，我哋想先問你一啲對 2007 年行政長官產生辦法嘅意見。」**

- V5. 現時行政長官係由一個 800 人嘅「選舉委員會」選舉產生。你同唔同意係 2007 年下一屆行政長官選舉時，應該增加「選舉委員會」嘅委員數目呢？  
1 同意 2 既非同意亦非唔同意 (跳答 V7) 3 唔同意 (跳答 V7)  
4 無意見 (跳答 V7) 5 唔知道 (跳答 V7) 6 拒絕回答 (跳答 V7)
- V6. (如果同意增加「選舉委員會」委員數目) 社會上有意見認為應該將「選舉委員會」委員人數增至 1600 人。你同唔同意呢個建議呢？(如果唔同意增至 1600 人，追問：「咁你認為應該增加到幾多人呢？」)  
1 同意 2 既非同意亦非唔同意 3 唔同意，應該增至\_\_\_\_\_人  
4 無意見 5 唔知道 6 拒絕回答
- V7. 如果要增加「選舉委員會」人數，你同唔同意加入多啲區議員呢？  
1 同意 2 既非同意亦非唔同意 (跳答 V9) 3 唔同意 (跳答 V9)  
4 無意見 (跳答 V9) 5 唔知道 (跳答 V9) 6 拒絕回答 (跳答 V9)
- V8. (如果同意加入多啲區議員) 依家區議會入面有四百廿幾位經選舉產生同埋大約一百位委任嘅區議員。你同唔同意係「選舉委員會」加入所有區議員呢？  
1 同意 2 既非同意亦非唔同意 3 唔同意  
4 無意見 5 唔知道 6 拒絕回答
- V9. 按現時規定，每名行政長官候選人最少要 100 名「選舉委員會」委員提名，即係「選舉委員會」總人數嘅八分之一。係 2007 年下一屆行政長官選舉，你同唔同意提名委員嘅數目，應該按選舉委員會嘅大小，維持番嘅八分之一呢？  
1 同意 2 既非同意亦非唔同意 3 唔同意  
4 無意見 5 唔知道 6 拒絕回答
- V10. 按現時規定，行政長官不得屬於任何政黨。你同唔同意應該維持呢個規定呢？  
1 同意 2 既非同意亦非唔同意 3 唔同意  
4 無意見 5 唔知道 6 拒絕回答

**讀出：「依家我哋想問你一啲對 2008 年立法會產生辦法嘅意見。」**

- V11. 今屆立法會有 60 位議員。你同唔同意 2008 年嘅新一屆立法會，應該增加議席數目呢？  
1 同意 2 既非同意亦非唔同意 (跳答 V13) 3 唔同意 (跳答 V13)  
4 無意見 (跳答 V13) 5 唔知道 (跳答 V13) 6 拒絕回答 (跳答 V13)

- V12. (如果同意增加立法會議席)社會上有意見認為立法會議席數目應該增加至 70 席。你同唔同意呢個建議呢？(如果唔同意增至 70 席，追問：「咁你認為應該增加到幾多席呢？」)
- 1 同意                                      2 既非同意亦非唔同意                                      3 唔同意，應該增至\_\_\_\_\_席  
4 無意見                                      5 唔知道                                      6 拒絕回答
- V13. 現時立法會有一個「功能界別」嘅議席係由區議員互選產生。如果要增加立法會「功能界別」嘅議席，你同唔同意增加區議員議席嘅數目呢？
- 1 同意                                      2 既非同意亦非唔同意 (跳答 V15)                                      3 唔同意 (跳答 V15)  
4 無意見(跳答 V15)                                      5 唔知道 (跳答 V15)                                      6 拒絕回答 (跳答 V15)
- V14. (如果同意增加區議員議席嘅數目) 依家區議會入面有四百廿幾位經選舉產生同埋大約一百位委任嘅區議員。你同唔同意所有新增加嘅「功能界別」議席由全體區議員互選產生呢？
- 1 同意                                      2 既非同意亦非唔同意                                      3 唔同意  
4 無意見                                      5 唔知道                                      6 拒絕回答
- V15. 根據《基本法》規定，立法會現時可以有唔超過百分之二十 (依家嚟講係 12 席) 嘅議員係非中國籍或者係外國有居留權嘅香港永久性居民。你同唔同意應該維持現有 12 席嘅規定呢？
- 1 同意                                      2 既非同意亦非唔同意                                      3 唔同意  
4 無意見                                      5 唔知道                                      6 拒絕回答
- V16. 香港嘅 07、08 年唔會實行兩個普選，你同唔同意行政長官及立法會嘅產生辦法都應該有啲發展，好過乜都唔變呢？
- 1 同意                                      2 既非同意亦非唔同意                                      3 唔同意  
4 無意見                                      5 唔知道                                      6 拒絕回答
- V17. 如果政府建議嘅政改方案係：
- (一) 選舉委員會人數由 800 增加至 1600 人，並加入全部經選舉產生同埋委任嘅區議員；
- (二) 立法會議席數目由 60 增加至 70 席，當中新增加嘅 5 席由直選產生，另外新增加嘅 5 個功能界別議席，就由全體區議員，即係所有經選舉產生同埋委任嘅區議員，互選產生。
- 你會唔會接受呢個方案呢？
- 1 接受                                      2 既非接受亦非唔接受                                      3 唔接受  
4 無意見                                      5 唔知道                                      6 拒絕回答

### 個人背景資料

- V18. 好多人都會或多或少將自己睇成屬於某一個社會階層嘅成員，你覺得你自己係屬於邊一個社會階層呢？
- 1 下層                                      2 中下層                                      3 中層                                      4 中上層                                      5 上層  
6 唔知道/無意見                                      7 拒絕回答

V19. 請問你嘅年齡係...? (以上一次生日計算)

- |             |             |
|-------------|-------------|
| 1 18 – 29 歲 | 4 50 – 59 歲 |
| 2 30 – 39 歲 | 5 60 歲或以上   |
| 3 40 – 49 歲 |             |

V20. 請問你嘅教育程度係...? (以受訪者最高學歷計算)

- |              |              |
|--------------|--------------|
| 1 未受教育 / 幼稚園 | 5 預科 (中六至中七) |
| 2 小學         | 6 專上 (非學位課程) |
| 3 中學 (中一至中三) | 7 大學學位或以上    |
| 4 中學 (中四至中五) | 8 拒絕回答       |

V21. 請問你家庭成員中有冇人係公務員?

- |             |        |
|-------------|--------|
| 1 有, 我自己    | 5 唔知道  |
| 2 有, 其他家庭成員 | 6 拒絕回答 |
| 3 冇         |        |
| 4 自己及家庭成員   |        |

V22. 咁請問你家庭成員中有冇人係政府資助機構嘅僱員呢?

- |     |     |       |        |
|-----|-----|-------|--------|
| 1 有 | 2 冇 | 3 唔知道 | 4 拒絕回答 |
|-----|-----|-------|--------|

V23. 請問你依家住緊嘅單位係自置嘅定係租嘅呢?

- 1 租公營房屋 (包括公屋/中轉房屋/房協出租房屋)
- 2 租私人住宅單位
- 3 自置公營房屋 (包括居屋/租者置其屋/房協出售房屋)
- 4 自置私人住宅單位
- 5 臨時房屋
- 6 其他 (員工宿舍)
- 7 唔知道/唔清楚
- 8 拒絕回答

V24. 請問你係唔係登記選民呢?

- |      |     |        |
|------|-----|--------|
| 1 唔係 | 2 係 | 3 拒絕回答 |
|------|-----|--------|

V25. 請問先生/小姐你依家有無工作呢?

- |              |     |
|--------------|-----|
| 1 有 (跳答 V27) | 2 冇 |
|--------------|-----|

V26. 咁請問你嘅身份係...?

- |         |                  |
|---------|------------------|
| 1 學生    | 4 待業人士           |
| 2 家務料理者 | 5 其他, 請註明: _____ |
| 3 退休人士  | 6 拒絕回答           |

→ 結束訪問

V27. 請問你現時嘅職位係...？

- |                |                 |
|----------------|-----------------|
| 01 經理及行政級人員    | 06 漁農業熟練工人      |
| 02 專業人員        | 07 工藝及有關人員      |
| 03 輔助專業人員      | 08 機台及機器操作員及裝配員 |
| 04 文員          | 09 非技術工人        |
| 05 服務工作及商店銷售人員 | 10 拒絕回答         |

V28. 請問你嘅個人每月收入大約係...？

- |                      |                      |                      |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 01 \$4,999 或以下       | 05 \$15,000 - 19,999 | 09 \$40,000 – 49,999 |
| 02 \$5,000 - 7,999   | 06 \$20,000 - 24,999 | 10 \$50,000 或以上      |
| 03 \$8,000 – 9,999   | 07 \$25,000 – 29,999 | 11 冇收入               |
| 04 \$10,000 – 14,999 | 08 \$30,000 – 39,999 | 12 拒絕回答              |

(先生／小姐) 唔該你，訪問已經完成，拜拜。



## 附錄二：受訪人士的社會背景資料

表 1 性別

|    | 人數    | 百分比   | 加權百分比 |
|----|-------|-------|-------|
| 男  | 537   | 43.8  | 48.2  |
| 女  | 688   | 56.2  | 51.8  |
| 總計 | 1 225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

表 2 自我認定的社會階層

|          | 人數    | 百分比   | 加權百分比 |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| 下層 / 中下層 | 607   | 49.6  | 49.0  |
| 中層       | 489   | 39.9  | 40.8  |
| 上層 / 中上層 | 56    | 4.6   | 4.5   |
| 不知道/無意見  | 61    | 5.0   | 4.7   |
| 拒絕回答     | 12    | 1.0   | 0.9   |
| 總計       | 1 225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

表 3 年齡

|           | 人數    | 百分比   | 加權百分比 |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|
| 18 - 29 歲 | 249   | 20.3  | 22.1  |
| 30 - 39 歲 | 219   | 17.9  | 23.8  |
| 40 - 49 歲 | 345   | 28.2  | 22.6  |
| 50 - 59 歲 | 207   | 16.9  | 12.7  |
| 60 歲或以上   | 205   | 16.7  | 18.8  |
| 總計        | 1 225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

**表 4 教育程度**

|         | 人數   | 百分比   | 加權百分比 |
|---------|------|-------|-------|
| 小學或以下   | 242  | 19.8  | 18.6  |
| 中學 / 預科 | 678  | 55.3  | 54.6  |
| 大專或以上   | 295  | 24.1  | 26.0  |
| 拒絕回答    | 10   | 0.8   | 0.8   |
| 總計      | 1225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

**表 5 是否有家庭成員是公務員**

|      | 人數    | 百分比   | 加權百分比 |
|------|-------|-------|-------|
| 有    | 147   | 12.0  | 12.1  |
| 沒有   | 1 068 | 87.2  | 87.1  |
| 不知道  | 1     | 0.1   | 0.1   |
| 拒絕回答 | 9     | 0.7   | 0.7   |
| 總計   | 1 225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

**表 6 是否有家庭成員是政府資助機構僱員**

|      | 人數    | 百分比   | 加權百分比 |
|------|-------|-------|-------|
| 有    | 109   | 8.9   | 8.8   |
| 沒有   | 1 097 | 89.6  | 89.7  |
| 不知道  | 14    | 1.1   | 1.1   |
| 拒絕回答 | 5     | 0.4   | 0.4   |
| 總計   | 1 225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

表 7 住屋類型

|           | 人數    | 百分比   | 加權百分比 |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|
| 租公營房屋     | 390   | 31.8  | 31.3  |
| 租私人住宅單位   | 104   | 8.5   | 8.8   |
| 自置房屋 / 其他 | 697   | 56.9  | 57.1  |
| 唔知道 / 唔清楚 | 6     | 0.5   | 0.6   |
| 拒絕回答      | 28    | 2.3   | 2.2   |
| 總計        | 1 225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

表 8 是否登記選民

|       | 人數    | 百分比   | 加權百分比 |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 非登記選民 | 305   | 24.9  | 26.0  |
| 登記選民  | 913   | 74.5  | 73.4  |
| 拒絕回答  | 7     | 0.6   | 0.6   |
| 總計    | 1 225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

表 9 就業狀況

|     | 人數    | 百分比   | 加權百分比 |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| 在職  | 654   | 53.4  | 55.2  |
| 非在職 | 571   | 46.6  | 44.8  |
| 總計  | 1 225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

**表 10 職業**

|                           | 人數  | 百分比   | 加權百分比 |
|---------------------------|-----|-------|-------|
| 經理 / 行政人員 / 專業人員 / 輔助專業人員 | 245 | 37.5  | 39.8  |
| 文員 / 服務工作及商店銷售人員          | 253 | 38.7  | 37.7  |
| 技工及勞工階層                   | 117 | 17.9  | 16.5  |
| 拒絕回答                      | 39  | 6.0   | 6.0   |
| 總計                        | 654 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

註：百分比以在職人士為基數。

**表 11 個人每月收入**

|                     | 人數  | 百分比   | 加權百分比 |
|---------------------|-----|-------|-------|
| \$10,000 以下         | 191 | 29.2  | 26.6  |
| \$10,000 - \$24,999 | 274 | 41.9  | 43.7  |
| \$25,000 或以上        | 104 | 15.9  | 16.6  |
| 拒絕回答                | 85  | 13.0  | 13.2  |
| 總計                  | 654 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

註：百分比以在職人士為基數。

### 附錄三：詳細樣本資料

| 類別               |                | 次頻    |
|------------------|----------------|-------|
| 成功個案 (1 225)     | 成功訪問           | 1 225 |
| 不成功個案<br>(1 219) | 中途拒絕           | 41    |
|                  | 無人接聽           | 316   |
|                  | 對方通話中/未能打通     | 48    |
|                  | 被抽中的受訪者不在家     | 74    |
|                  | 電話留言           | 25    |
|                  | 接通即拒絕          | 299   |
|                  | 拒絕接受訪問         | 340   |
|                  | 言語不通           | 76    |
| 非目標個案<br>(864)   | 撥號後無聲無反應／需輸入密碼 | 687   |
|                  | 非住宅號碼          | 49    |
|                  | 傳真號碼           | 110   |
|                  | 沒有 18 歲或以上人士   | 13    |
|                  | 對方聲稱電話號碼錯誤     | 5     |
| 總計               |                | 3 308 |

$$\text{註：回應比率} = \frac{\text{成功個案}}{\text{抽選樣本} - \text{非目標個案}} \times 100\%$$

$$= \frac{1225}{3308 - 864} \times 100\%$$

$$= 50.1\%$$

## Introduction

- 1.1 The Constitutional Development Task Force (the Task Force) commissioned a telephone opinion poll on the methods for selecting the Chief Executive in 2007 and for forming the Legislative Council in 2008. The objective of the poll is to ascertain the level of public support for and acceptance of the major elements of the package of proposals to be put forward by the Task Force.
- 1.2 Since its establishment in January 2004, the Task Force has been collecting views from the general public and different sectors of the community on the issue of constitutional development. The Task Force has formulated the package of proposals, having given full and comprehensive consideration to the views received during the public consultation exercise. The Task Force also decided to commission a poll to ascertain the level of public support for and acceptance of the major elements of the package of proposals to be put forward by the Task Force.
- 1.3 The Task Force commissioned, through the Central Policy Unit, the Poly U Technology & Consultancy Company Limited<sup>2</sup> of the Hong Kong Polytechnic University (PolyU) to conduct the poll. The Centre for Social Policy Studies (CSPS) of the Department of Applied Social Science of PolyU was tasked to execute the poll, including sample selection, fieldwork monitoring, data quality assurance and data analysis.
- 1.4 The poll was conducted during the period from 27 to 30 September 2005.

---

<sup>2</sup> PolyU Technology & Consultancy Company Limited is founded by PolyU to coordinate consultancy and research projects carried out by the research units of various departments, including the CSPS, which are commissioned by outside parties.

## Methodology

### Questionnaire Design

2.1 On the basis of the objective mentioned above, the questionnaire covered the following areas:

(i) *Method for selecting the Chief Executive in 2007*

- the number of Election Committee members
- the composition of the Election Committee
- the number of subscribers required for nominating Chief Executive candidates
- whether the Chief Executive may have political affiliation

(ii) *Method for forming the Legislative Council in 2008*

- the number of seats in the Legislative Council
- the composition and electorate base of the new functional constituency seats
- the nationality requirement of Legislative Council Members

(iii) *On the premises that universal suffrage will not be implemented in 2007/08, whether there should be some progress for the two electoral methods*

(iv) *Whether to accept Government's package of proposals*

2.2 The questionnaire used in the poll is at Appendix 1.

## **Sampling Method, Samples and Response Rate**

- 2.3 The poll was carried out through telephone interviews. Target respondents were persons aged 18 or above.
- 2.4 With regard to the sampling method, the Residential Telephone Number Database maintained by the CSPA served as the sampling frame. A total of 3 308 household telephone numbers were first selected from the Database by simple random sampling. For each of the households sampled, a person aged 18 or above was then selected by the Kish Grid method for telephone interview by interviewers.
- 2.5 Through telephone interviews, 1 225 persons aged 18 or above were successfully interviewed. The sampling error estimated for the percentages obtained from the collected data was at most 1.4%, and the margin of error at 95% confidence level was at most +/- 2.8%. Details of the personal characteristics of respondents successfully interviewed are at Appendix 2.
- 2.6 Among the selected sample of 3 308 household telephone numbers, the total number of successful cases were 1 225. Another 864 numbers were confirmed to be non-target cases (including non-residential, fax and coded lines, households with no qualified respondents etc), and 1 219 were unsuccessful cases (including refusals, no answer, not-at-homes etc). The overall response rate was 50.1%. Details are at Appendix 3.
- 2.7 Before data analysis, all collected data were weighted to adjust for the bias which might have been caused by the differences in age-sex distributions between the successfully enumerated sample and the general population in Hong Kong. In determining the weights, reference was made to the age-sex distribution of the land-based population from the 2001 Population Census.



## Results

3.1 The poll results are summarized below.

### **Method for Selecting the Chief Executive in 2007**

3.2 At present, the Chief Executive is elected by an Election Committee composed of 800 members. For the next Chief Executive election in 2007, sixty percent (59.9%) of the general public agreed that the number of Election Committee members be increased. The percentage was higher than that who disagreed (13.5%). (See Table 1)

Table 1 At present, the Chief Executive is elected by an Election Committee composed of 800 members. For the next Chief Executive election in 2007, do you agree that the number of Election Committee members be increased?

|                            | Percentage |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Agree                      | 59.9       |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 5.0        |
| Disagree                   | 13.5       |
| No opinion                 | 10.1       |
| Don't know                 | 11.2       |
| Refuse to answer           | 0.2        |
| Total                      | 100.0      |

- 3.3 Among those who agreed that there be an increase in the number of Election Committee members, sixty-one percent (61.1%) agreed that the number of members be increased to 1 600. The percentage was higher than that who disagreed (30.1%). (See Table 2)

Table 2 (For those who agree that the number of Election Committee members be increased) There are views in the community that the number of Election Committee members be increased to 1600. Do you agree to this proposal? (For those who “disagree” that the number be increased to 1600, please prompt: “In your view, how many Election Committee members should it be increased to?”)

|                            | Percentage |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Agree                      | 61.1       |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 3.5        |
| Disagree                   | 30.1       |
| 900 or below               | 0.9        |
| 1 000                      | 5.2        |
| 1 100                      | 0.1        |
| 1 200                      | 3.3        |
| 1 300 – 1 900              | 0.6        |
| 2 000 – 5 000              | 3.3        |
| Above 5 000                | 2.7        |
| Universal suffrage         | 9.5        |
| Don’t know                 | 4.6        |
| No opinion                 | 3.3        |
| Don’t know                 | 1.6        |
| Refuse to answer           | 0.4        |
| Total                      | 100.0      |

Note: Percentages only refer to those who agree that the number of Election Committee members be increased.

- 3.4 If there is to be an increase in the number of Election Committee members, fifty-eight percent (58.3%) of the general public agreed that more District Council members be included in the Committee. The percentage was higher than that who disagreed (24.5%). (See Table 3)

Table 3 If the number of Election Committee members is to be increased, do you agree that more District Council members be included?

|                            | Percentage |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Agree                      | 58.3       |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 4.0        |
| Disagree                   | 24.5       |
| No opinion                 | 7.3        |
| Don't know                 | 5.6        |
| Refuse to answer           | 0.2        |
| Total                      | 100.0      |

- 3.5 At present, in the District Councils, there are over four hundred and twenty members returned by election and around one hundred by appointment. For those who agreed that there be more District Council members in the Election Committee, sixty-two percent (61.5%) agreed that all District Council members be included. The percentage was higher than that who disagreed (29.1%). (See Table 4)

Table 4 (For those who agree that more District Council members be included) At present, in the District Councils, there are over four hundred and twenty members returned by election and around one hundred by appointment. Do you agree that all District Council members be included in the Election Committee?

|                            | Percentage |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Agree                      | 61.5       |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 3.4        |
| Disagree                   | 29.1       |
| No opinion                 | 1.9        |
| Don't know                 | 4.1        |
| Total                      | 100.0      |

Note: Percentages only refer to those who agree that the number of District Council members be increased.

- 3.6 According to current provision, each Chief Executive candidate should be nominated by at least 100 Election Committee members, i.e. one-eighth of the total Election Committee membership. For the next Chief Executive election in 2007, fifty-seven percent (57.3%) of the general public agreed that the number of subscribers should remain at one-eighth of the total Election Committee membership. The percentage was higher than that who disagreed (23.8%). (See Table 5)

Table 5 According to current provision, each Chief Executive candidate should be nominated by at least 100 Election Committee members i.e. one-eighth of the total Election Committee membership. For the next Chief Executive Election in 2007, do you agree that the number of subscribers should remain at one-eighth in accordance with the size of the Election Committee?

|                            | Percentage |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Agree                      | 57.3       |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 2.3        |
| Disagree                   | 23.8       |
| No opinion                 | 7.2        |
| Don't know                 | 9.2        |
| Refuse to answer           | 0.2        |
| Total                      | 100.0      |

- 3.7 According to current provision, the Chief Executive should not have political affiliation. Seventy-three percent (73.3%) of the general public agreed that this provision be maintained. The percentage was far higher than that who disagreed (15.5%). (See Table 6)

Table 6 According to current provision, the Chief Executive should not have political affiliation. Do you agree that this provision be maintained?

|                            | Percentage |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Agree                      | 73.3       |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 2.7        |
| Disagree                   | 15.5       |
| No opinion                 | 2.3        |
| Don't know                 | 6.1        |
| Refuse to answer           | 0.1        |
| Total                      | 100.0      |

### **Method for Forming the Legislative Council in 2008**

- 3.8 There are 60 members in the current Legislative Council. For the new term of Legislative Council in 2008, forty-five percent (45.3%) of the general public agreed that the number of seats be increased. The percentage was higher than that who disagreed (36.5%). (See Table 7)

Table 7 There are 60 members in the current Legislative Council. For the new term of Legislative Council in 2008, do you agree that the number of seats be increased?

|                            | Percentage |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Agree                      | 45.3       |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 4.8        |
| Disagree                   | 36.5       |
| No opinion                 | 6.4        |
| Don't know                 | 7.0        |
| Refuse to answer           | 0.1        |
| Total                      | 100.0      |

- 3.9 Among those who agreed that there be an increase in the number of Legislative Council seats, seventy-nine percent (78.8%) agreed that the number of seats be increased to 70. The percentage was higher than that who disagreed (13.4%). (See Table 8)

Table 8 (For those who agree that the number of seats in the Legislative Council be increased) There are views in the community that the number of seats in the Legislative Council shall be increased to 70. Do you agree to this proposal? (For those who “disagree” that the number of seats be increased to 70, please prompt: “In your view, how many seats should it be increased to?”)

|                            | Percentage |     |
|----------------------------|------------|-----|
| Agree                      | 78.8       |     |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 2.7        |     |
| Disagree                   | 13.4       |     |
| Below 70                   |            | 2.4 |
| 80                         |            | 3.4 |
| 85                         |            | 0.4 |
| 90                         |            | 1.2 |
| 100                        |            | 3.1 |
| 120 or above               |            | 1.7 |
| Don't know                 |            | 1.3 |
| No opinion                 | 3.9        |     |
| Don't know                 | 1.1        |     |
| Refuse to answer           | 0.1        |     |
| Total                      | 100.0      |     |

Note: Percentages only refer to those who agree that the number of seats in the Legislative Council be increased.

- 3.10 Currently, there is one functional constituency seat in the Legislative Council which is returned through election by District Council members from among themselves. If the number of functional constituency seats in the Legislative Council is to be increased, forty-nine percent (48.5%) of the general public agreed that more seats be given to District Council members. The percentage was higher than that who disagreed (33.4%). (See Table 9)

Table 9 Currently, there is one functional constituency seat in the Legislative Council which is returned through election by District Council members from among themselves. If the number of seats returned by functional constituencies in the Legislative Council is to be increased, do you agree that the number of seats for District Council members be increased?

|                            | Percentage |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Agree                      | 48.5       |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 2.9        |
| Disagree                   | 33.4       |
| No opinion                 | 6.1        |
| Don't know                 | 9.0        |
| Refuse to answer           | 0.2        |
| Total                      | 100.0      |

- 3.11 At present, in the District Councils, there are over four hundred and twenty members returned by election and around one hundred by appointment. For those who agreed that more seats be given to District Council members in the Legislative Council, sixty percent (59.8%) agreed that all new functional constituency seats be returned through election by all District Council members from among themselves. The percentage was higher than that who disagreed (29.9%). (See Table 10)

Table 10 (For those who agree that the number of seats for District Council members be increased) At present, in the District Councils, there are over four hundred and twenty members returned by election and around one hundred by appointment. Do you agree that all new functional constituency seats be returned through election by all District Council members from among themselves?

|                            | Percentage |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Agree                      | 59.8       |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 2.1        |
| Disagree                   | 29.9       |
| No opinion                 | 3.2        |
| Don't know                 | 4.7        |
| Refuse to answer           | 0.3        |
| Total                      | 100.0      |

Note: Percentages only refer to those who agree that the number of seats for District Council members be increased.



- 3.12 According to provisions in the Basic Law, at present not more than 20% of Legislative Council Members (i.e. 12 seats) may be Hong Kong permanent residents who are not of Chinese nationality or who have right of abode in foreign countries. Sixty-five percent (64.5%) of the general public agreed that the existing arrangement of 12 seats be maintained. The percentage was far higher than that who disagreed (19.7%). (See Table 11)

Table 11 According to provisions in the Basic Law, at present not more than 20% of Legislative Council Members (i.e. 12 seats) may be Hong Kong permanent residents who are not of Chinese nationality or who have right of abode in foreign countries. Do you agree that the existing arrangement of 12 seats be maintained?

|                            | Percentage |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Agree                      | 64.5       |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 4.5        |
| Disagree                   | 19.7       |
| No opinion                 | 4.7        |
| Don't know                 | 6.2        |
| Refuse to answer           | 0.4        |
| Total                      | 100.0      |

**On the premises that universal suffrage will not be implemented in 2007/08, whether there should be some progress for the two electoral methods**

- 3.13 On the premises that universal suffrage will not be implemented in 2007 and 2008, three-quarters (74.7%) of the general public agreed that there should be some progress for the methods for selecting Chief Executive and for forming the Legislative Council, rather than having no change at all. The percentage was far higher than that who disagreed (10.6%). (See Table 12)

Table 12 Universal suffrage will not be implemented in Hong Kong in 2007 and 2008. Do you agree that there should be some progress for the methods for selecting the Chief Executive and for forming the Legislative Council, rather than having no change at all?

|                            | Percentage |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Agree                      | 74.7       |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 2.9        |
| Disagree                   | 10.6       |
| No opinion                 | 5.1        |
| Don't know                 | 6.0        |
| Refuse to answer           | 0.6        |
| Total                      | 100.0      |

## **Whether to accept Government's Package of Proposals**

3.14 If Government's package of proposals is:

- (1) to increase the number of Election Committee members from 800 to 1600, and to include all elected and appointed District Council members in the Election Committee; and
- (2) to increase the number of seats in the Legislative Council from 60 to 70, with 5 of the new seats to be returned by direct election, and the other 5 new functional constituency seats to be returned through election by all District Council members, i.e. all elected and appointed District Council members, from among themselves;

fifty-five percent (55.1%) of the general public indicated that they would accept the package. The percentage was higher than that who indicated that they would not accept the package (22.4%). (See Table 13)

Table 13 If Government's package of proposals is: (1) to increase the number of Election Committee members from 800 to 1600, and to include all elected and appointed District Council members into the Election Committee; and (2) to increase the number of seats in the Legislative Council from 60 to 70, with 5 of the new seats to be returned by direct election, and the other 5 new functional constituency seats to be returned through election by all District Council members, i.e. all elected and appointed members, from among themselves, would you accept this proposal?

|                    | Percentage |
|--------------------|------------|
| Yes                | 55.1       |
| Neither yes nor no | 5.2        |
| No                 | 22.4       |
| No opinion         | 6.8        |
| Don't know         | 9.9        |
| Refuse to answer   | 0.7        |
| Total              | 100.0      |

# **Methods for Selecting the Chief Executive in 2007 and for Forming the Legislative Council in 2008**

## **Public Opinion Poll**

## **Appendices**



## Appendix 1: Questionnaire (Translation)

### The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

#### Department of Applied Social Sciences Centre for Social Policy Studies

27 – 30 September 2005

#### Procedure A: Self Introduction

“Hello, is this telephone number XXXX XXXX? (Interviewer: if you have dialed the wrong number please say: “Sorry, I think I’ve dialed the wrong number. Bye bye.” Then please try to dial the correct number at once.) I am a telephone interviewer from the Centre for Social Policy Studies at the Hong Kong Polytechnic University. We are now conducting an opinion poll on Constitutional Development in Hong Kong and would like to do a short interview with you. Please be assured that the information you provide will be kept strictly confidential. Thank you for your co-operation. The eligible respondents of this poll are Hong Kong citizens aged 18 or above. I would like to know how many household members are aged 18 or above?”

- Response:
1. ☐ Respondent cooperates -----> [ Procedure B ]
  2. ☐ Cut-at-once/ Refusal/ Mid-way termination -----> [ End ]
  3. ☐ Invalid Numbers (Strange tone/ No Tone) -----> [ End ]
  4. ☐ Non-Target (No eligible respondent/ Fax/ Non-Residential Line)-----> [ End ]
  5. ☐ No Answer/ Answering Machine/ Not available right now /Busy Line----> [ Retry ]

(Re-call history:

#### Procedure B: Sample Selection

(Official Use)

[v1] Telephone#

[v2] Interviewer#

**【If there is more than one eligible respondents in the same household, please use the Random Generator with the Kish Grid method to randomly select one of the eligible respondents to conduct the poll.】**

[v3] According to the sampling result, we have selected the \_\_ oldest member of your household as respondent, would you mind if I invite this household member to conduct the poll now?

- 1 ☐ I am→[Start questionnaire, go to Procedure C]→ [If necessary, please read the poll introduction again]
- 2 ☐ Not convenient right now→ [Must be confirmed]→ [Confirmation or Make appointment: \_\_\_\_\_]→  
[End interview] → [Record down]
- 3 ☐ Not here right now→ [Make appointment: \_\_\_\_\_]→ [End interview]→ [Record Down]

~~~~~

- [v4] (Written down by the interviewer)
 Sex of the respondent: ☐ 1. Male ☐ 2. Female
 (Then address the respondent "Mr." or "Miss" accordingly in the following interview.)

If the selected respondent is not the first person answering your telephone call, please read, "I am a telephone interviewer from the Centre for Social Policy Studies at the Hong Kong Polytechnic University. We are now conducting an opinion poll on Constitutional Development in Hong Kong and would like to do a short interview with you. Please be assured that the information you provide will be kept strictly confidential. Thank you for your co-operation."

Please read: First of all, I would like to ask some questions related to the method for selecting the Chief Executive in 2007.

- [v5] At present, the Chief Executive is elected by an Election Committee composed of 800 members. For the next Chief Executive election in 2007, do you agree that the number of Election Committee members be increased?
1. Agree
 2. Neither agree nor disagree (Skip to v7)
 3. Disagree (Skip to v7)
 4. No opinion (Skip to v7)
 5. Don't know (Skip to v7)
 6. Refuse to answer (Skip to v7)
- [v6] (For those who agree that the number of Election Committee members be increased) There are views in the community that the number of Election Committee members be increased to 1600. Do you agree to this proposal? (For those who "disagree" that the number be increased to 1600, please prompt: "In your view, how many Election Committee members should it be increased to?")
1. Agree
 2. Neither agree nor disagree
 3. Disagree, it should be increased to: _____
 4. No opinion
 5. Don't know
 6. Refuse to answer
- [v7] If the number of Election Committee members is to be increased, do you agree that more District Council members be included?
1. Agree
 2. Neither agree nor disagree (Skip to v9)
 3. Disagree (Skip to v9)
 4. No opinion (Skip to v9)
 5. Don't know (Skip to v9)
 6. Refuse to answer (Skip to v9)
- [v8] (For those who agree that more District Council members be included) At present, in the District Councils, there are over four hundred and twenty members returned by election and around one hundred by appointment. Do you agree that all District Council members be included in the Election Committee?
1. Agree
 2. Neither agree nor disagree
 3. Disagree
 4. No opinion
 5. Don't know
 6. Refuse to answer

[v9] According to current provision, each Chief Executive candidate should be nominated by at least 100 Election Committee members i.e. one-eighth of the total Election Committee membership. For the next Chief Executive Election in 2007, do you agree that the number of subscribers should remain at one-eighth in accordance with the size of the Election Committee?

1. Agree
2. Neither agree nor disagree
3. Disagree
4. No opinion
5. Don't know
6. Refuse to answer

[v10] According to current provision, the Chief Executive should not have political affiliation. Do you agree that this provision be maintained?

1. Agree
2. Neither agree nor disagree
3. Disagree
4. No opinion
5. Don't know
6. Refuse to answer

Please read: Now I would like to ask some questions related to the method for forming the Legislative Council in 2008.

[v11] There are 60 members in the current Legislative Council. For the new term of Legislative Council in 2008, do you agree that the number of seats be increased?

1. Agree
2. Neither agree nor disagree (Skip to v13)
3. Disagree (Skip to v13)
4. No opinion (Skip to v13)
5. Don't know (Skip to v13)
6. Refuse to answer (Skip to v13)

[v12] (For those who agree that the number of seats in the Legislative Council be increased) There are views in the community that the number of seats in the Legislative Council shall be increased to 70. Do you agree to this proposal? (For those who "disagree" that the number of seats be increased to 70, please prompt: "In your view, how many seats should it be increased to?")

1. Agree
2. Neither agree nor disagree
3. Disagree, it should be increased to: _____
4. No opinion
5. Don't know
6. Refuse to answer

[v13] Currently, there is one functional constituency seat in the Legislative Council which is returned through election by District Council members from among themselves. If the number of seats returned by functional constituencies in the Legislative Council is to be increased, do you agree that the number of seats for District Council members be increased?

1. Agree
2. Neither agree nor disagree (Skip to v15)
3. Disagree (Skip to v15)
4. No opinion (Skip to v15)
5. Don't know (Skip to v15)
6. Refuse to answer (Skip to v15)

- [v14] (For those who agree that the number of seats for District Council members be increased) At present, in the District Councils, there are over four hundred and twenty members returned by election and around one hundred by appointment. Do you agree that all new functional constituency seats be returned through election by all District Council members from among themselves?
1. Agree
 2. Neither agree nor disagree
 3. Disagree
 4. No opinion
 5. Don't know
 6. Refuse to answer
- [v15] According to provisions in the Basic Law, at present not more than 20% of Legislative Council Members (i.e. 12 seats) may be Hong Kong permanent residents who are not of Chinese nationality or who have right of abode in foreign countries. Do you agree that the existing arrangement of 12 seats be maintained?
1. Agree
 2. Neither agree nor disagree
 3. Disagree
 4. No opinion
 5. Don't know
 6. Refuse to answer
- [v16] Universal suffrage will not be implemented in Hong Kong in 2007 and 2008. Do you agree that there should be some progress for the methods for selecting the Chief Executive and for forming the Legislative Council, rather than having no change at all?
1. Agree
 2. Neither agree nor disagree
 3. Disagree
 4. No opinion
 5. Don't know
 6. Refuse to answer
- [v17] If Government's package of proposals is:
- (1) to increase the number of Election Committee members from 800 to 1600, and to include all elected and appointed District Council members into the Election Committee; and
 - (2) to increase the number of seats in the Legislative Council from 60 to 70, with 5 of the new seats to be returned by direct election, and the other 5 new functional constituency seats to be returned through election by all District Council members, i.e. all elected and appointed members, from among themselves,
- would you accept this package?
1. Yes
 2. Neither yes nor no
 3. No
 4. No opinion
 5. Don't know
 6. Refuse to answer

Personal Background

- [v18] Many people regard themselves as belonging to a particular social stratum. Which social stratum do you think you yourself belong to?
1. Lower class
 2. Lower middle class
 3. Middle class
 4. Upper middle class
 5. Upper class
 6. Don't know/No opinion
 7. Refuse to answer

- [v19] What is your age? (According to the last birthday)
1. 18-29
 2. 30-39
 3. 40-49
 4. 50-59
 5. 60 or above
- [v20] What is your educational attainment? (According to the highest qualification)
1. No formal schooling / Kindergarten
 2. Primary
 3. Secondary (F.1 – F.3)
 4. Secondary (F.4 – F.5)
 5. Matriculation (F.6 – F.7)
 6. Tertiary (non-degree)
 7. Tertiary (degree) or above
 8. Refuse to answer
- [v21] Is any member of your household a civil servant?
1. Yes, the respondent
 2. Yes, other household members
 3. Yes, the respondent and other household members
 4. No
 5. Don't know
 6. Refuse to answer
- [v22] Is any member of your household working in organizations subsidized or subvented by the Government?
1. No
 2. Yes
 3. Don't know
 4. Refuse to answer
- [v23] What is the type of your residence and do you rent or own it?
1. Rented flats in public housing (including flats of public rental housing / intermediate housing / rental flats of Housing Society)
 2. Rented private premises
 3. Self-owned public housing flats (including Home Ownership Scheme/ Tenants' Purchase Scheme/ Flats for Sale Scheme of Housing Society)
 4. Self-owned private premises
 5. Temporary housing
 6. Others (including staff quarters)
 7. Don't know/ Not clear
 8. Refuse to answer
- [v24] Are you a registered voter?
1. No
 2. Yes
 3. Refuse to answer
- [v25] Are you currently working or non-working?
1. Working (Skip to v27)
 2. Non-working
- [v26] Are you a?

- | |
|---|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Student 2. Home-maker 3. Retired person 4. Unemployed 5. Other, please specify: _____ 6. Refuse to answer |
|---|

→ End of Interview

[v27] What is your current position?

1. Managers and administrators
2. Professionals
3. Associate professionals
4. Clerks
5. Service workers and shop sales workers
6. Skilled agricultural / fishery workers
7. Craft and related workers
8. Plant and machine operators and assemblers
9. Elementary occupations
10. Refuse to answer

[v28] What is your monthly personal income?

1. \$4,999 or below
2. \$5,000 – 7,999
3. \$8,000 – 9,999
4. \$10,000 – 14,999
5. \$15,000 – 19,999
6. \$20,000 – 24,999
7. \$25,000 – 29,999
8. \$30,000 – 39,999
9. \$40,000 – 49,999
10. \$50,000 or above
11. No income
12. Refuse to answer

(Mr. _____ / Miss _____) Thank you for your co-operation. Goodbye.

Appendix 2: Personal Characteristics of Respondents

Table 1. Sex

| | Number | Percentage | Percentage after weighting |
|--------|--------|------------|----------------------------|
| Male | 537 | 43.8 | 48.2 |
| Female | 688 | 56.2 | 51.8 |
| Total | 1 225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 2. Self-identified social stratum

| | Number | Percentage | Percentage after weighting |
|--------------------------|--------|------------|----------------------------|
| Lower/Lower Middle Class | 607 | 49.6 | 49.0 |
| Middle Class | 489 | 39.9 | 40.8 |
| Upper/Upper Middle Class | 56 | 4.6 | 4.5 |
| Don't know/No opinion | 61 | 5.0 | 4.7 |
| Refuse to answer | 12 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| Total | 1 225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 3. Age

| | Number | Percentage | Percentage after weighting |
|-------------|--------|------------|----------------------------|
| 18 – 29 | 249 | 20.3 | 22.1 |
| 30 – 39 | 219 | 17.9 | 23.8 |
| 40 – 49 | 345 | 28.2 | 22.6 |
| 50 – 59 | 207 | 16.9 | 12.7 |
| 60 or above | 205 | 16.7 | 18.8 |
| Total | 1 225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 4. Educational Attainment

| | Number | Percentage | Percentage after weighting |
|-------------------------|--------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Primary or below | 242 | 19.8 | 18.6 |
| Secondary/Matriculation | 678 | 55.3 | 54.6 |
| Tertiary or above | 295 | 24.1 | 26.0 |
| Refuse to answer | 10 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Total | 1225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 5. Whether with family member working in the Civil Service

| | Number | Percentage | Percentage after weighting |
|------------------|--------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Yes | 147 | 12.0 | 12.1 |
| No | 1 068 | 87.2 | 87.1 |
| Don't know | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Refuse to answer | 9 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Total | 1 225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 6. Whether with family member working in organizations subsidized or subvented by the Government

| | Number | Percentage | Percentage after weighting |
|------------------|--------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Yes | 109 | 8.9 | 8.8 |
| No | 1 097 | 89.6 | 89.7 |
| Don't know | 14 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Refuse to answer | 5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Total | 1 225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 7. Type of Housing

| | Number | Percentage | Percentage after weighting |
|--|--------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Rented public flats | 390 | 31.8 | 31.3 |
| Rented private premises | 104 | 8.5 | 8.8 |
| Self-owned premises or other types of housing | 697 | 56.9 | 57.1 |
| Don't know/ Not clear | 6 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Refuse to answer | 28 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| Total | 1 225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 8. Registered Voter

| | Number | Percentage | Percentage after weighting |
|------------------|--------|------------|-------------------------------|
| No | 305 | 24.9 | 26.0 |
| Yes | 913 | 74.5 | 73.4 |
| Refuse to answer | 7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Total | 1 225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 9. Working Status

| | Number | Percentage | Percentage after weighting |
|-------------|--------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Working | 654 | 53.4 | 55.2 |
| Non-working | 571 | 46.6 | 44.8 |
| Total | 1 225 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 10. Occupation

| | Number | Percentage | Percentage after weighting |
|---|--------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Managers and administrators /Professionals/ Associate professionals | 245 | 37.5 | 39.8 |
| Clerks/Service workers and shop sales workers | 253 | 38.7 | 37.7 |
| Skilled and Manual Workers | 117 | 17.9 | 16.5 |
| Refuse to answer | 39 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Total | 654 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Note: Percentages only refer to those working.

Table 11. Monthly Personal Income

| | Number | Percentage | Percentage after weighting |
|---------------------|--------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Below \$10,000 | 191 | 29.2 | 26.6 |
| \$10,000 - \$24,999 | 274 | 41.9 | 43.7 |
| \$25,000 or above | 104 | 15.9 | 16.6 |
| Refuse to answer | 85 | 13.0 | 13.2 |
| Total | 654 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Note: Percentages only refer to those working.

Appendix 3: Enumeration Results

| | Types | Number |
|---|------------------------------|---------------|
| Successfully Interviewed (1 225) | Successfully Interviewed | 1 225 |
| Unsuccessful Cases (1 219) | Mid-Way Termination | 41 |
| | No Answer | 316 |
| | Busy Lines | 48 |
| | Selected Persons Not At Home | 74 |
| | Answering Machines | 25 |
| | Cut At Once | 299 |
| | Refusal | 340 |
| | Language Problems | 76 |
| Non-Target Cases (864) | No Signal / Coded Lines | 687 |
| | Non-Residential Lines | 49 |
| | Fax Lines | 110 |
| | No Person of Age 18 or above | 13 |
| | Claim “Wrong Number” | 5 |
| Total | | 3 308 |

$$\text{Note : Response Rate} = \frac{\text{Successfully Interviewed}}{\text{Selected Sample} - \text{Non-Target Cases}} \times 100\%$$

$$= \frac{1225}{3308 - 864} \times 100\%$$

$$= 50.1\%$$